Quantum Electrodynamics Problem Set 11 (for the exercises on July 2 and 3)

Problem 1 The Yukawa potential

Consider the scattering of distinguishable fermions in Yukawa theory. In this case only the diagram

contributes to $i\mathcal{M}$ in order g^2 (why?). We have shown in class that this diagram gives

$$i\mathcal{M} = (-ig^2)\bar{u}^{s'}(p')u^s(p)\frac{1}{(p'-p)^2 - m_{\varphi}^2}\bar{u}^{r'}(k')u^r(k)$$

a) In the following we consider the nonrelativistic limit in which we only keep terms to lowest order in the 3-momenta $(E_{\vec{p}} \approx m + |\vec{p}|^2/2m, \text{ etc.})$. Show that in this approximation we have

$$(p'-p)^2 = -|\vec{p}' - \vec{p}|^2,$$
$$u^s(p) = \sqrt{m} \begin{pmatrix} \xi^s \\ \xi^s \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\bar{u}^{s'}(p')u^s(p) = 2m\delta^{ss'}$$

and thus

$$i\mathcal{M} = \frac{ig^2}{|\vec{p'} - \vec{p}|^2 + m_{\varphi}^2} 2m\delta^{ss'} 2m\delta^{rr'}.$$

b) For scattering from a static potential it can be shown that

$$\langle \vec{p}' | iT | \vec{p} \rangle = 2\pi \delta (E_{\vec{p}} - E_{\vec{p}'}) i\mathcal{M}.$$

Furthermore, the Born approximation to the scattering amplitude in nonrelativistic quantum mechanics reads

$$\langle \vec{p}' | iT | \vec{p} \rangle = -iV(\vec{q})(2\pi)\delta(E_{\vec{p}'} - E_{\vec{p}})$$

with $\vec{q} = \vec{p}' - \vec{p}$. Show that we obtain a potential (in momentum space)

$$V(\vec{q}) = -\frac{g^2}{|\vec{q}|^2 + m_{\varphi}^2}$$

for the Yukawa interaction (modulo differences in normalization conventions).

c) Fourier transform this result to coordinate space to show that the Yukawa potential is

$$V(r) = -\frac{g^2}{4\pi r}e^{-m_{\varphi}r}.$$

This potential is *attractive*.

d) Now consider the scattering of a fermion and an antifermion, described by the diagram

$$p', s' \xrightarrow{q} q \xrightarrow{k', r} k', r$$

Show that in the nonrelativistic limit we have

$$\bar{v}^r(k)v^{r'}(k') = -2m\delta^{rr'}$$

and modify the analysis above to show that we get the same *attractive* potential in this case.

e) Finally, consider the scattering of two antifermions in the nonrelativistic limit. Show that the resulting potential is again *attractive*.

We conclude that the exchange of a scalar particle always leads to attraction between spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particles.

Problem 2 The Coulomb potential

Here we repeat the nonrelativistic calculation of Problem 1, but now for QED instead of Yukawa theory. Use the results of Problem 1 whenever possible.

a) Show that for the scattering of two distinguishable fermions, the leading-order contribution to $i\mathcal{M}$ is

$$i\mathcal{M} = \frac{p', s'}{p, s} \sqrt{k', r'} = (-ie)^2 \bar{u}^{s'}(p') \gamma^{\mu} u^s(p) \frac{-ig_{\mu\nu}}{(p'-p)^2} \bar{u}^{r'}(k') \gamma^{\nu} u^r(k) \,.$$

b) Show that in the nonrelativistic limit we have

$$\begin{split} \bar{u}^{s'}(p')\gamma^0 u^s(p) &= 2m\delta^{ss'}\,,\\ \bar{u}^{s'}(p')\gamma^i u^s(p) &= 0 \end{split}$$

so that the expression for $i\mathcal{M}$ above becomes

$$i\mathcal{M} = \frac{-ie^2}{|\vec{p}' - \vec{p}|^2} 2m\delta^{ss'} 2m\delta^{rr'}.$$

c) Compare with the Yukawa case in Problem 1 and show that the Coulomb potential for the present case is

$$V(r) = \frac{e^2}{4\pi r} = \frac{\alpha}{r}$$

This potential is *repulsive*.

d) Now consider fermion-antifermion scattering. Show that

$$i\mathcal{M} = \frac{p', s'}{p, s} \sum_{k, r} \frac{k', r'}{k, r} = (-1) \frac{-ie^2}{|\vec{p'} - \vec{p}|^2} 2m\delta^{ss'} 2m\delta^{rr'},$$

which leads to an *attractive* potential.

e) Show that for the scattering of two antifermions the potential is *repulsive* again.

We conclude that the exchange of a vector particle leads to repulsion between like charges and attraction between unlike charges. This explains at a fundamental level what you have learned in school.

f) Bonus question: How would the analysis above have to be amended

- if the two incoming particles are antiparticles of each other (e.g., $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$) or
- if the scattered fermions are indistinguishable (e.g., $e^-e^- \rightarrow e^-e^-$)?

Problem 3 Some useful identities (bonus problem)

Prove the following formulas that were stated without proof in class.

a) Trace identities for γ -matrices:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr}(1) &= 4 \\ \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{odd} \operatorname{number} \operatorname{of} \gamma' s) &= 0 \\ \operatorname{tr}(\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}) &= 4g^{\mu\nu} \\ \operatorname{tr}(\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\rho}\gamma^{\sigma}) &= 4(g^{\mu\nu}g^{\rho\sigma} - g^{\mu\rho}g^{\nu\sigma} + g^{\mu\sigma}g^{\nu\rho}) \\ \operatorname{tr}(\gamma^{5}) &= 0 \\ \operatorname{tr}(\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\rho}\gamma^{5}) &= 0 \\ \operatorname{tr}(\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\rho}\gamma^{\sigma}\gamma^{5}) &= -4i\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \\ \operatorname{tr}(\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\rho}\gamma^{\sigma}\cdots) &= \operatorname{tr}(\cdots\gamma^{\sigma}\gamma^{\rho}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\mu}) \end{aligned}$$

4

For the last identity, insert $C^2 = 1$ between γ 's, where $C = \gamma^0 \gamma^2$ and $C \gamma^{\mu} C = -(\gamma^{\mu})^T$.

b) Contractions of γ -matrices:

$$\begin{split} \gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{\mu} &= 4\mathbb{1} \\ \gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma_{\mu} &= -2\gamma^{\nu} \\ \gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\rho}\gamma_{\mu} &= 4g^{\nu\rho}\mathbb{1} \\ \gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\rho}\gamma^{\sigma}\gamma_{\mu} &= -2\gamma^{\sigma}\gamma^{\rho}\gamma^{\nu} \end{split}$$

c) Contractions of ε :

$$\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} = -2(\delta^{\mu}{}_{\rho}\delta^{\nu}{}_{\sigma} - \delta^{\mu}{}_{\sigma}\delta^{\nu}{}_{\rho})$$
$$\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\nu} = -6\delta^{\mu}{}_{\nu}$$
$$\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = -24$$

d) Write a computer program in the language of your choice (e.g., Octave or Python) to verify the identities numerically.