

PCR-/NAT *Clostridium difficile* (RV 545) November 2017



Tabelle 1: Probenzusammensetzung und erwartetes Ergebnis.

Sample composition and expected results.

	<i>Erwartet / expected</i>	<i>Probenzusammensetzung / Sample composition</i>	
1725451	++	61 / 71,72	<i>Clostridium difficile O27 deletion (~ 1x10⁴ CFU/mL)</i>
1725452	+	61 / 71,72	<i>Clostridium difficile O27 deletion (~ 5x10³ CFU/mL)</i>
1725453	+++	61 / 71,72	<i>Clostridium difficile O27 deletion (~ 1x10⁵ CFU/mL)</i>
1725454	Ø	62	<i>Escherichia coli K12</i>

Tabelle 2: Häufigkeit der Mitteilung verschiedener Befunde.

Absolute numbers of reported individual results.

n = 102	Probennummer (Sample no.)					Inhibition			
	1725451	1725452	1725453	1725454		1725451	1725452	1725453	1725454
Positiv	102	93	101	1	n.d.	0	0	0	0
Negativ	0	8¹⁾	1	101	nein no	102	102	102	102
Fraglich Questionable	0	1	0	0	ja yes	0	0	0	0

Tabelle 3: Häufigkeit richtig positiver und richtig negativer NAT-Befunde bei Anwendern verschiedener Methoden.

Absolute numbers and relative frequency of reported true positive and true negative results among various NAT methods.

NAT-Methode [Code] (total number *)	NAT richtig positiv True positive results			NAT richtig negativ True negative results		
	Absolut Absolute	Relativ Relative	%	Absolut Absolute	Relativ Relative	%
Cepheid Xpert <i>C.difficile</i> [20] (n=30)	84	84 / 89 §	94	30	30 / 30	100
BD MAX <i>C.difficile</i> [21] (n =14)	42	42 / 42	100	14	14 / 14	100
r-Biopharm RIDAGENE Cdif [22] (n =16)	47	47 / 48	98	15	15 / 16	94
Commercial assay / kit [27] (n =30)	89	89 / 90	99	30	30 / 30	100
In house PCR assay [28] (n = 11)	31	31 / 33	94	11	11 / 11	100
Andere / k.A. / other [29] (n = 6)	17	17 / 18	94	6	6 / 6	100

§ Due to reporting questionable results, the number of true results (denominator in the „relative“ column) has been reduced.

* Durch Mehrfachnennung oder fehlende Angabe kann die absolute Zahl der Ergebnisse (Tab. 2) von der Anzahl der Teilnehmer abweichen.
Due to reporting results of multiple assay systems or missing specifications, the effective numbers are not correlating with the number of participants.

Comments: ¹⁾ As sample #1725452 contained a low number of *Clostridium difficile* target organisms, negative PCR results were not rated “false negative” in this EQAS distribution.