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INTRODUCTION. — Our aim in this paper is to study group schemes $G$ of prime order $p$ over a rather general base scheme $S$. Suppose

$$G = \text{Spec}(A), \quad S = \text{Spec}(R),$$

and suppose the augmentation ideal $I = \ker(A \to R)$ is free of rank one over $R$ (so $G$ is of order $p = 2$), say $I = Rx$; then there exist elements $a$ and $c$ in $R$ such that $x^2 = ax$ and such that the group structure on $G$ is defined by $sx = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x - cx \otimes x$. One easily checks that $ac = 2$; conversely any factorization $ac = 2 \in R$ defines a group scheme of order 2 over $R$. In this way all $R$-group schemes whose augmentation ideal is free of rank one are classified, and an easy sheaf-theoretic globalization yields a classification of group schemes of order 2 over any base $S$. In case $p > 2$ the difficulty is to find a good generator for the ideal $I$. To this end we prove first (theorem 1) that any $G$ of order $p$ is commutative and killed by $p$, i.e. a "module scheme" over $F_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. In order to exploit the action of $F_p^*$ on $G$, we assume in section 2 that the base $S$ lies over $\text{Spec}(\Lambda_p)$, where

$$\Lambda_p = \mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta, \frac{1}{p-1}\right] \cap \mathbb{Z}_p,$$

$\zeta$ being a primitive $(p-1)$-th root of unity in the ring of $p$-adic integers $\mathbb{Z}_p$. For $S$ over $\text{Spec}(\Lambda_p)$ we prove (theorem 2) that the $S$-groups of order $p$ are classified by triples $(L, a, b)$ consisting of an invertible $\mathcal{O}_S$-module $L$, together with sections $a$ and $b$ of $L^{\otimes (p-1)}$ and $L^{\otimes (p-1)}$ such that $a \otimes b = \omega_p$, where $\omega_p$ is the product of $p$ and of an invertible element of $\Lambda_p$. Since the $p$-adic completion of $\Lambda_p$ is $\mathbb{Z}_p$, this structure theorem
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applies in particular to a base of the form \( S = \text{Spec}(R) \), where \( R \) is a complete noetherian local ring of residue characteristic \( p \): for such an \( R \), the isomorphism classes of \( R \)-groups of order \( p \) correspond to equivalence classes of factorizations \( p = ac \) of \( p \in R \), two such factorizations \( p = a_1c_1 \) and \( p = a_2c_2 \) being considered equivalent if there is an invertible element \( u \) in \( R \) such that \( a_2 = u^{-1}a_1 \) and \( c_2 = u^{-1}p c_1 \) (cf. remark 5 at the end of section 2). In section 3 we apply this theory to obtain a classification (theorem 3) of group schemes of order \( p \) defined over the ring of integers in a number field, in terms of idèle class characters. As a special case we recover an unpublished result of M. Artin and B. Mazur, to the effect that the only group schemes of order \( p \) over \( \mathbb{Z} \) are the constant group \((\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})_\mathbb{Z}\) and its Cartier dual \( \mu_p, \mathbb{Z} \).

Our original proof of theorem 1, insofar as the "killed by \( p \)" part is concerned, was intertwined with the proof of theorem 2. We can now avoid this procedure thanks to P. Deligne, who communicated to us a direct proof of the fact that, for any integer \( m \geq 1 \), a commutative group scheme of order \( m \) is killed by \( m \). We give Deligne’s proof in section 1.

1. Preliminaries and Two General Theorems. — Let \( S \) be a prescheme and \( T \) a prescheme over \( S \). We say that \( T \) is of finite order over \( S \) if \( T \) is of the form \( T = \text{Spec}(A) \), where \( A \) is a sheaf of \( \mathcal{O}_S \)-algebras which is locally free of constant rank \( r \); and then we say that \( T \) is of order \( r \) over \( S \). If \( S \) is locally noetherian and connected, then \( T \) is of finite order over \( S \) if and only if it is finite and flat over \( S \).

Suppose \( G = \text{Spec}(A) \) is a group scheme of finite order over \( S \). We denote by

\[
s_A = s : A \to A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} A \quad \text{respectively} \quad t_A = t : A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} A \to A
\]

the homomorphisms of \( \mathcal{O}_S \)-algebras which correspond to the law of composition, respectively the diagonal map

\[
G \times_S G \to G, \quad \Delta_0 : G \to G \times_S G.
\]

Let \( A' \) denote the \( \mathcal{O}_S \)-linear dual of \( A \):

\[
A' = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(A, \mathcal{O}_S);
\]

this is a locally free sheaf of the same rank as \( A \). As \( A' \) is locally free of finite rank, the natural map

\[
A' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} A' \to (A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} A)'
\]

is an isomorphism, and we obtain

\[
t_A = (s_A)' : A' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} A' \to A' \quad \text{and} \quad s_A = (t_A)' : A' \to A' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} A',
\]

where \( s_A = (t_A)' : A' \to A' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} A' \).
which makes $A'$ into an associative, coassociative, and cocommutative Hopf-algebra over $\mathcal{O}_S$, with unit and counit — the analog of the group algebra of $G$. The map

$$G(S) := \text{Hom}_{\text{unitary } \mathcal{O}_S\text{-algebras}}(A', \mathcal{O}_S) \hookrightarrow \Gamma(S, A')$$

is an isomorphism of $G(S)$ onto the multiplicative group of invertible elements $g \in \Gamma(S, A')$ such that $s_A(g) = g \otimes g$.

The group scheme $G$ is commutative if and only if the ring $A'$ is commutative. Suppose this is the case. Then the $S$-prescheme $G' = \mathcal{S}\text{pec}(A')$, with the law of composition induced by $s_A$, is a commutative $S$-group of the same finite order as $G$, the Cartier dual of $G$. As there is a canonical isomorphism

$$A \xrightarrow{\sim} (A')',$$

we have $G = (G')'$, and (3) can be interpreted as an isomorphism

$$G(S) \cong \text{Hom}_{S\text{-groups}}(G', \mathfrak{G}_{m,S}),$$

where $\mathfrak{G}_{m,S}$ is the multiplicative group over $S$. Viewed symmetrically, (4) gives a bimultiplicative morphism of schemes over $S$,

$$G \times_S G' \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{m,S},$$

which we call the Cartier pairing.

Let $G \rightarrow S$ be an $S$-group scheme. For each integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ we denote by

$$m_6 : G \rightarrow G$$

the morphism obtained by raising to the $m$-th power all elements of the group functor $G$, i.e. for all $T \rightarrow S$, and any $\xi \in G(T)$,

$$m_6(\xi) = \xi^m.$$

Suppose $G = \mathcal{S}\text{pec}(A)$, then we use $[m] : A \rightarrow A$ for the corresponding $\mathcal{O}_S$-algebra homomorphism. The “laws of exponents” $(\xi^n)^m = \xi^{mn}$ and $(\xi^n)(\xi^m) = \xi^{m+n}$ amount to the identities

$$[m].[n] = [mn] \quad \text{and} \quad t_\lambda \circ ([m] \otimes [n]) \circ s_\lambda = [m + n].$$

Of course $[1] = \text{id}_A$, and $[0] = 1 \circ \varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon : A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$ corresponds to the neutral element of $G(S)$, and where $i : \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow A$ corresponds to the structure morphism $G \rightarrow S$. The ideal $I = \text{Ker}(\varepsilon) = \text{Ker}[\varepsilon]$ is called the augmentation ideal of $A$ (or of $G$). If $m \geq 2$, clearly

$$[m] = (A \rightarrow A^\otimes m \rightarrow A),$$
the first arrow being defined by iteration of \( s_a \), and the second being the multiplication.

We thank P. Deligne for letting us present here his proof of:

**Theorem (Deligne).** — A commutative \( S \)-group of order \( m \) is killed by \( m \) (i.e. \( m_c = 0_c \)).

The proof of the theorem is inspired by the following: let \( \Gamma \) be a finite commutative (abstract) group of order \( m \), and let \( x \in \Gamma \). Then

\[
\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma = \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (\gamma \cdot x) = \left( \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma \right) x^m,
\]

and hence \( x^m = e \).

In order to be able to apply this idea to group schemes Deligne defines the following trace map: let \( G \) be a commutative group scheme of finite order over \( S \), and suppose \( T = Spec(B) \) is of order \( m \) over \( S \), with structure morphism \( f: T \to S \). Then \( Tr_f \) is the unique map such that the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
G(T) \xrightarrow{\gamma} \Gamma(T, \mathcal{O}_T \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{A}') = \Gamma(S, B \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{A}') \\
\downarrow Tr_f \\
G(S) \xrightarrow{\beta} \Gamma(S, \mathcal{A}')
\end{array}
\]

is commutative, where the (injective) horizontal arrows are as in (3), and where \( N \) denotes the norm map for the \( \mathcal{A}' \)-algebra \( B \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{A}' \), which is locally free of rank \( m \) over \( \mathcal{A}' \) (here we use the commutativity of \( \mathcal{A}' \)). From this definition we easily deduce that \( Tr_f \) is a homomorphism, and that

\[
(6) \quad Tr_f(f^* u) = u^m \quad \text{for all } u \in G(S),
\]

where \( f^* = G(f) : G(S) \to G(T) \). Suppose \( t : T \to T \) is an \( S \)-automorphism; then

\[
(7) \quad Tr_f(\beta) = Tr_f(T \xrightarrow{\beta} T \xrightarrow{\beta} G) \quad \text{for all } \beta \in G(T);
\]

this follows immediately from the properties of a norm map.

**Proof of the theorem.** — In order to prove that a group scheme \( H \to U \) of order \( m \) is killed by \( m \), it is sufficient to show that for any \( S \to U \), each element of \( H(S) \) has an order dividing \( m \); as

\[
H(S) = \text{Hom}_S(S, H \times_U S),
\]

it suffices to prove that for any \( f : G \to S \), a commutative group scheme of order \( m \), and for any section \( u \in G(S) \) we have \( u^m = 1 \). We denote
by \( t_u : G \to G \) the translation on \( G \) by \( u \), i.e.
\[
t_u = (G \cong G \times_S S^1_{(u)} \to G \times G) \cdot \gamma.
\]
We consider \( \gamma \in G(G) \) (the analog of \( \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma \)), and using (7) we note that
\[
\text{Tr}_f(t_u) = \text{Tr}_f\left( G \to G \right) \cdot \gamma.
\]
As \( \circ \) means composition, and \( \times \) means multiplication, using (6) we obtain
\[
\text{Tr}_f(t_u) = \text{Tr}_f(1_G \times (f^*u)) = \text{Tr}_f(1_G) \times \text{Tr}_f(f^*u) = \text{Tr}_f(1_G) \times u^m,
\]
and the theorem is proved.

Remark. — A group scheme of order \( m \) over a reduced base is killed by its order (cf. [1], VIIa, 8.5), however we do not know whether this is true for (non-commutative) group schemes over an arbitrary base.

Example and notation. — Let \( \Gamma \) be a finite group, \( R \) any commutative ring with identity element, \( R[\Gamma] \) the group ring of \( \Gamma \), and \( R[\Gamma] = \text{Map}(\Gamma, R) \) the ring of functions from \( \Gamma \) into \( R \). The constant group scheme defined by \( \Gamma \) over \( R \) is \( \Gamma \cdot R = \text{Spec}(R[\Gamma]) \). Elements of \( R[\Gamma] \) are \( R \)-linear functions on \( R[\Gamma] \), and we see that \( R[\Gamma] \) and \( R[\Gamma] \) are in duality. In particular the dual of the constant cyclic group scheme \( (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})_\Gamma \) is \( \mu_{n,R} \), the group scheme representing the \( n \)-th roots of unity
\[
\mu_{n,R}(B) = \{ x \in B \mid x^n = 1 \}
\]
for any \( R \)-algebra \( B \).

Let \( p \) be a prime number. For the rest of this section we will be concerned exclusively with groups of order \( p \).

Theorem 1. — An \( S \)-group of order \( p \) is commutative and killed by \( p \).

By Deligne's theorem we need only prove commutativity. It is clear that it suffices to treat the case \( S = \text{Spec}(R) \), where \( R \) is a local ring with algebraically closed residue class field.

Lemma 1. — Let \( k \) be an algebraically closed field, and suppose \( G = \text{Spec}(A) \) is a \( k \)-group of order \( p \). Then either \( G \) is the constant group scheme, or the characteristic of \( k \) equals \( p \) and \( G = \mu_{p,k} \) or \( G = \alpha_{p,k} \). In particular, \( G \) is commutative and the \( k \)-algebra \( A \) is generated by a single element.

Postponing the proof of the lemma for a moment we first show how theorem 1 follows from it. Let tilda (i.e.) denote reduction modulo

the maximal ideal of \( R \). Then \( \tilde{G} = G \times_s \text{Spec}(k) \) is commutative by lemma 1, and we can apply that lemma to its Cartier dual \( \tilde{G}' = \text{Spec}(\tilde{A}') \).

Let \( x \in A' \) be such that its residue class \( \tilde{x} \in (A')^\sim = (\tilde{A})' \) generates the \( k \)-algebra \( \tilde{A}' \). Then \( (R[x])^\sim = k[\tilde{x}] = (A')^\sim \), and by Nakayama’s lemma (which is applicable because \( A' \) is a free \( R \)-module of finite rank \( p \)) we conclude that \( A' = R[x] \). Hence \( A' \) is commutative, and this means \( G \) is commutative.

For the convenience of the reader we include a proof of the well-known lemma 1. Recall first that the connected component \( H^0 \) of a finite \( k \)-group \( H \) is a (normal) subgroup scheme, and if \( H_i \) is a subgroup scheme of \( H \), then the order of \( H \) equals the product of the orders of \( H_i \) and of \( H/H_i \) (cf. [1], VI, 3.2 (iv)). Since \( G \) is of prime order, its connected component is either \( \text{Spec}(k) \) or all of \( G \), and, accordingly, \( G \) is either \( \text{étale} \) or connected. If \( G \) is \( \text{étale} \), then it is constant because \( k \) is algebraically closed, hence it is isomorphic to \( (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})/\alpha \), and \( A \), the \( k \)-algebra consisting of all \( k \)-valued functions on \( \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \), is generated by any function which takes distinct values at the points of \( \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \).

Suppose \( G = \text{Spec}(A) \) is connected, i.e. the \( k \)-algebra \( A \) is a local artin ring. Its augmentation ideal \( I \subset A \) is nilpotent. By Nakayama’s lemma \( I \neq (1) \), hence there exists a non-zero \( k \)-derivation \( d : A \to k \). This means that the element \( d \in \Gamma \subset A' \) has the property \( s_{\kappa}(d) = d \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d \) (as \( \varepsilon = 1 \in A' \)). Thus \( k[d] \subset A' \) is a \( k \)-sub-bialgebra of \( A' \), and as \( k[d] \) is a commutative ring, we obtain a surjective \( k \)-bialgebra homomorphism \( \pi' = A \twoheadrightarrow (k[d])' \); as the order \( p \) of \( G \) is prime, this implies that the order of \( k[d] \) equals \( p \), and hence \( k[d] = A' \). As before we conclude that \( G' = \text{Spec}(A') \) is either \( \text{étale} \) or connected. If \( G' \) is \( \text{étale} \) this means \( G' \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})/\alpha \), and thus \( G \cong \mathfrak{p}_{p, \alpha} \); as \( G \) was supposed to be connected this implies \( \text{char}(k) = p \). If \( G' \) is connected, \( d \) is nilpotent, and, as \( k[d] \) is of rank \( p \), we must have \( d^{p-1} \neq 0 \) and \( d^p = 0 \); as \( s_{\kappa} \) is a ring homomorphism this implies \( p = 0 \) in \( k \), hence \( \text{char}(k) = p \); moreover we already know that \( s_{\kappa}(d) = d \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d \), hence \( G' \cong \mathfrak{p}_{p, \alpha} \), and thus \( G \cong \mathfrak{p}_{p, \alpha} \) which proves the lemma. Note that the last part of the proof could have been given using \( p \)-Lie algebras (cf. [4], VII, 7).

Remark. — In contrast with group theory there exists a group scheme of rank \( p \) which acts non-trivially on another group scheme of rank \( p \), namely \( \mathfrak{p}_p \), resp. \( \mathfrak{a}_p \). Hence there exist group schemes of rank \( p^2 \) which are not commutative. For example, let \( R \) be any \( \mathbb{F}_p \)-algebra, and define \( A = R[\tau, \sigma] \), with \( \tau^p = 1 \), \( \sigma^p = 0 \), \( \sigma = \tau \otimes \tau \), and \( \sigma = \sigma \otimes \sigma + \sigma \otimes 1 \). The \( R \)-group \( G = \text{Spec}(A) \) is isomorphic to the semi-direct product
of the normal subgroup scheme defined by \( \tau = 1 \), which is isomorphic to \( \mathfrak{a}_{p, r} \), and the subgroup scheme defined by \( \sigma = 0 \), which is isomorphic to \( \mathfrak{p}_{p, r} \).

2. A classification theorem. — We denote by \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) the ring of \( p \)-adic integers, and by

\[
\chi : \mathbb{F}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p
\]

the unique multiplicative section of the residue class map \( \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}_p/p\mathbb{Z}_p \).

For any \( a \in \mathbb{Z}_p \), we have

\[
\chi(\tilde{a}) = \lim_{v \to \infty} \{ a^{p^v} \},
\]

where \( \tilde{a} \) is the residue of \( a \) mod \( p \). Thus, \( \chi(0) = 0 \), and for \( m \in \mathbb{F}_p^* \), \( \chi(m) \) is the unique \((p-1)\)-th root of unity in \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) whose residue \((\text{mod } p)\) is \( m \). The restriction of \( \chi \) to \( \mathbb{F}_p^* \) is a generator for the group \( \text{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_p^*, \mathbb{Z}_p) \) of "multiplicative characters of \( \mathbb{F}_p^* \)."

Let

\[
\Lambda_p = \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{\chi(\mathbb{F}_p^*), \frac{1}{p(p-1)}} \right] \cap \mathbb{Z}_p,
\]

the intersection being taken inside the fraction field \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) of \( \mathbb{Z}_p \). Thus \( \Lambda_p \) is the ring of elements in the field of \((p-1)\)-th roots of unity \( \mathbb{Q}(\chi(\mathbb{F}_p^*)) \), which are integral at all places not dividing \( p(p-1) \) and also at one place above \( p \), namely that given by the inclusion \( \mathbb{Q}(\chi(\mathbb{F}_p^*)) \subset \mathbb{Q}_p \). The prime ideal in \( \Lambda_p \) corresponding to this last place is

\[
\Lambda_p \cap p\mathbb{Z}_p = p\Lambda_p,
\]

and \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) is the \( p \)-adic completion of \( \Lambda_p \).

Examples :

\[
\begin{align*}
p = 2, & \quad \Lambda_2 = \mathbb{Z}; \\
p = 3, & \quad \Lambda_2 = \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \right] \\
p = 5, & \quad \Lambda_2 = \mathbb{Z} \left[ i, \frac{1}{2(3 + i)} \right],
\end{align*}
\]

where \( i = \chi(2) = 2 \) is the unique element of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) such that \( i^2 = -1 \), and \( i \equiv 2 \pmod{5} \).

In this section we fix a prime number \( p \), we write \( \Lambda = \Lambda_p \), and we assume our ground scheme \( S \) is over \( \text{Spec}(\Lambda) \). We shall often view \( \chi \) as taking values in the \( \Lambda \)-algebra \( \Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \), writing simply \( \chi(m) \) instead of \( \chi(m) \cdot 1_{\mathcal{O}_S} \); for example if \( p\mathcal{O}_S = 0 \), then \( \chi(m) = m \).

Let \( G = \text{Spec}(\Lambda) \) be an \( S \)-group of order \( p \). By theorem 1, the group \( \mathbb{F}_p^* \) operates on \( G \), and we can therefore regard \( A \), and the augmentation
ideal I of G, as sheaves of modules over the group algebra \( \mathcal{O}_s[F_p] \). For each integer \( i \), let \( I_i = e_i I \), where \( e_i \) is the \( \mathcal{O}_s \)-linear operator

\[
e_i = \frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{m \in F_p^*} \gamma_i^{-1}(m) [m] \in \mathcal{O}_s[F_p].
\]

Clearly \( e_i \), hence also \( I_i \), depends only on \( i \pmod{p-1} \).

**Lemma 2.** — We have \( I = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} I_i \), direct sum. For each \( i \), \( I_i \) is an invertible \( \mathcal{O}_s \)-module, consisting of the local sections of \( A \) such that \([m]f = \gamma_i(m)f\) for all \( m \in F_p \). We have \( I_i \subset I_{i+j} \) for all \( i \) and \( j \), and \( I'_i = I_i \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq p-1 \).

**Proof.** — For \( 1 \leq i \leq p-1 \), the elements \( e_i \) are orthogonal idempotents in the group algebra \( \Lambda[F_p^*] \) whose sum is 1 and which satisfy \([m]e_i = \gamma_i(m)e_i\) for \( m \in F_p^* \). Hence \( I \) is the direct sum of the \( I_i \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq p-1 \), and \( I_i \) consists of the local sections \( f \) of \( I \) such that \([m]f = \gamma_i(m)f\) for all \( m \in F_p^* \), or, what is the same since \( \gamma_i(0) = 0 \), of the local sections \( f \) of \( A \) such that \([m]f = \gamma_i(m)f\) for all \( m \in F_p^* \). From this and the rule 

\[
([m])fg = ([m]f)([m]g)
\]

we see that \( I_i I_j \subset I_{i+j} \). Since the \( \mathcal{O}_s \)-module \( I \) is locally free of rank \( p-1 \), its direct summands \( I_i \) are locally free over \( \mathcal{O}_s \) of ranks \( r_i \) such that \( r_1 + \ldots + r_{p-1} = p-1 \). To prove that \( r_i = 1 \) for each \( i \), and that \( I'_i = I_i \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq p-1 \), it suffices to examine the situation in case \( S = \text{Spec}(k) \), where the \( \Lambda \)-algebra \( k \) is an algebraically closed field, and to exhibit in that case a section \( f_i \) of \( I_i \) such that \( f_i \neq 0 \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq p-1 \); then \( k f'_i \subset I_i \) shows \( r_i \geq 1 \) for all \( i \), hence \( r_i = 1 \), and \( k f_i = I_i \). By lemma 1 there are only three cases to consider, namely \( G \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})_h, \mathfrak{a}_{p,k} \), or \( \mathfrak{m}_{p,k} \), and the last two only for \( \text{char}(k) = p \), in which case \( \gamma(m) = m \). If \( G \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})_h \), then \( \Lambda \) is the algebra of \( k \)-valued functions on \( \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} = F_p \), and \( ([m])f(n) = f(mn) \) for \( f \in A \) and \( m, n \in F_p^* \); hence we may take \( f_i = \gamma_i \). If \( G \cong \mathfrak{a}_{p,k} \) or \( \mathfrak{m}_{p,k} \), then \( A = k[t] \) with \( t^p = 0 \), \( st = t \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes t \), so \([m]t = mt \) \( s(t+1) = (1+t)(1+t) \) \( t^m = (1+t)^m-1 \); hence in both cases \([m]t = mt = \gamma(m)t \pmod{t^2} \), we have \( e_i t = t \neq 0 \) \( \pmod{t^2} \), and can take \( f_i = e_i t \). This completes the proof of lemma 2.

**Example and Notation.** — The group \( \nu_{p,\Lambda} \). We have \( \nu_{p,\Lambda} = \text{Spec}(B) \), where \( B = \Lambda[z] \), with \( z^p = 1 \). The comultiplication in \( B \) is given by \( sz = z \otimes z \), and \([m]z = z^m \) for all \( m \in F_p^* \). The augmentation ideal \( I_B = J \) of \( B \) is \( J = B(z-1) \), and has a \( \Lambda \)-basis consisting of the elements \( z^n-1 \) for \( m \in F_p^* \):

\[
B(z-1) = \Lambda(z-1) + \ldots + \Lambda(z^{p-1}-1).
\]
For each integer \( i \) we put
\[
y_i = (p - 1) e_i (1 - z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{F}_p^*} \chi^{-i}(m) (1 - z^m)
\]
\[
= \begin{cases} 
  p - \sum_{m \in \mathbb{F}_p} z^m & \text{if } i \equiv 0 \mod (p - 1), \\
  - \sum_{m \in \mathbb{F}_p} \chi^{-i}(m) z^m & \text{if } i \not\equiv 0 \mod (p - 1).
\end{cases}
\]

Note that \( y_i \) depends only on \( i \mod (p - 1) \). Then
\[
y_i = \frac{1}{p - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \gamma_i^m (m) y_i, \quad \text{for } m \in \mathbb{F}_p^*,
\]
and
\[
s y_i = y_i \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes y_i = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{F}_p^*} \chi^{-i}(m) (1 - z^m) \otimes (1 - z^m)
\]
\[
= \frac{-1}{p - 1} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{F}_p^*} \chi^{-i}(m) \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \chi^{i}(m) \chi^{i}(m) y_j \otimes y_i
\]
\[
= \frac{-1}{p - 1} \sum_{j \equiv k \equiv i \mod (p - 1)} y_j \otimes y_i,
\]

hence
\[
s y_i = y_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes y_i + \frac{1}{1 - p} \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} y_j \otimes y_{i-j}.
\]

Formula (10) shows that
\[
J = \Lambda y_1 + \ldots + \Lambda y_{p-1}.
\]

Hence \( J_i = e_i J = \Lambda y_i \) for each \( i \), because \( e_1, \ldots, e_{p-1} \) are orthogonal idempotents. Putting \( y = y_1 \), we can therefore define a sequence of elements \( i = w_1, w_2, \ldots \) in \( \Lambda \) by
\[
y^i = w_i y_i.
\]

**Proposition.** — The elements \( w_i \) are invertible in \( \Lambda \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq p - 1 \), and \( w_p = pw_{p-1} \). We have \( B = \Lambda[y] \), with \( y^i = w_i y \), and
\[
s y = y \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes y + \frac{1}{1 - p} \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} w_i \otimes y_{p-i};
\]
\[
[m] y = \chi(m) y \quad \text{for } m \in \mathbb{F}_p^*;
\]
\[
w_i \equiv i! \pmod{p} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq p - 1;
\]
\[
z = 1 + \frac{1}{1 - p} \left( y + \frac{y^2}{w_2} + \ldots + \frac{y^{p-1}}{w_{p-1}} \right).
\]
Proof. — By lemma 2,

\[ A \gamma^i = (A \gamma)^i = (J_i)^i = J = A \gamma, \]

thus the \( w_i \) are invertible for \( 1 \leq i \leq p - 1 \). Clearly \( (z - 1)^p \equiv 0 \ (\text{mod} \ p) \), thus \( y^p \equiv 0 \ (\text{mod} \ p) \); moreover

\[ z = 1 + y + \frac{y^2}{w_2} + \ldots + \frac{y^{p-1}}{w_{p-1}} \ (\text{mod} \ p); \]

comparing the coefficients of \( y^i \times y \), \( 1 \leq i \leq p - 1 \), in both sides of

\[ \left( 1 + y + \ldots + \frac{y^{p-1}}{w_{p-1}} \right) \otimes \left( 1 + y + \ldots + \frac{y^{p-1}}{w_{p-1}} \right) \]

\[ \equiv 1 + x y + \frac{1}{w_2} (x y)^2 + \ldots + \frac{1}{w_{p-1}} (x y)^{p-1} \ (\text{mod} \ p), \]

we obtain \( w_{i+1} \equiv (i + 1) \cdot w_i \ (\text{mod} \ p) \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq p - 1 \), which proves (15).

The other formulas have been proved already, except for the identity \( w_p = p w_{p-1} \). To this end, choose an embedding \( \Lambda = \Lambda_p \otimes K \), where \( K \) is some field containing a primitive \( p \)-th root of unity \( \zeta \in K \) (e.g. \( \Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}_p \)) and choose for \( K \) an algebraic closure of \( \mathbb{Q}_p \), or choose an embedding of \( \Lambda \) into the field \( \mathbb{C} \) of complex numbers. Extend the embedding \( \Lambda \to K \) to a homomorphism \( \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p \) by \( z \mapsto \zeta^i \); let \( y_i \mapsto \gamma_i \) and \( \gamma_i = \gamma_{i+1} \) under this homomorphism. Then by (9) we find \( \eta_{p-1} = p \); as \( p \neq 0 \) and \( w_{p-1} \neq 0 \) in \( \Lambda \), we see \( \gamma_i \neq 0 \), and using (12) we have

\[ p w_{p-1} = \eta_{p-1} w_{p-1} = \eta^{p-1} = \frac{\gamma_{p-1}^{p}}{\gamma_i} = w_p, \]

which concludes the proof of the proposition.

Remark. — The \( w_i \in \Lambda \) can be computed inductively from \( w_1 = 1 \) and the relations

\[ \frac{w_{i+j}}{w_i w_j} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} p & \text{if } i \equiv 0 \text{ or } j \equiv 0, \\ (-1)^i & \text{if } i \equiv 0, \ j \equiv 0 \text{ but } i + j \equiv 0, \\ (-1)^{i+j+1} \sum \chi^i(m) \chi^j(n) & \text{if } i \equiv 0, \ j \equiv 0 \text{ and } i + j \equiv 0, \end{array} \right. \]

where the congruences are \( \text{mod}(p - 1) \), and where \( \sum \chi^i(m) \chi^j(n) \) denotes the Jacobi sum

\[ \sum_{m+n=1 \atop m, n \in \mathbb{F}_p^*} \chi^i(m) \chi^j(n). \]

Choose an embedding \( \Lambda = \Lambda_p \otimes \Lambda[\zeta] \subset K \) as in the proof of the proposition; then

\[ \frac{w_{i+j}}{w_i w_j} = \frac{\eta_i \gamma_j}{\eta_{i+j}}. \]
The first case of (17) is clear, because $\gamma_{p-1} = p$. Suppose $i \not\equiv 0$ and $j \not\equiv 0 \mod (p - 1)$; then $p \not\equiv 2$, and $\chi(-1) = -1$; letting $l$, $m$, and $n$ run through $\mathbb{F}_p$ we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\gamma_i \gamma_j &= \left\{ \sum_{m \not\equiv 0} \chi_{-l}(m) \chi^n \right\} \left\{ \sum_{n \not\equiv 0} \chi_{-l}(n) \chi^n \right\} \\
&= \sum_{mn \not\equiv 0} \chi_{-l}(m) \chi_{-l}(n) \chi^{m+n} \\
&= \sum_{l \not\equiv 0} \chi_{-l} \sum_{m+n=-l \atop mn \not\equiv 0} \chi_{-l}(m) \chi_{-l}(n) \\
&= \sum_{n \not\equiv 0} \chi_{-l}(n) \chi_{-l}(n) + \sum_{l \not\equiv 0} \sum_{m+n=-l \atop mn \not\equiv 0} \chi_{-l} \chi_{-l}(lm) \chi_{-l}(-ln) \\
&= (\chi_{-l})^2 \sum_{n \not\equiv 0} \chi_{-l}(n) + (\chi_{-l})^2 \sum_{l \not\equiv 0} \chi_{-l} \chi_{-l}(l) \\
&= (\chi_{-l})^2 \sum_{mn \equiv -1 \atop mn \not\equiv 0} \chi_{-l}(m) \chi_{-l}(n) \\
&= \begin{cases} 
(\chi_{-l})^2 \gamma_{-l+1} \chi_{-l}, & \text{if } i + j \not\equiv 0, \\
(\chi_{-l})^2 (p - 1) - \sum_{m+n=-1 \atop mn \not\equiv 0} \chi_{-l} \left( \frac{n}{m} \right), & \text{if } i + j \equiv 0.
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

This proves the third case of (17), and the second results on replacing $n$ by $mn$ in the last formula

\[
\sum_{m+n=-1 \atop mn \not\equiv 0} \chi_{-l} \left( \frac{n}{m} \right) = -\chi_{-l} \chi_{-l} (-1) = -(-1)^l.
\]

Many of the facts established in the last few paragraphs are essentially equivalent to well-known properties of the Jacobi sums $J(i, j)$, and the Gauss sums $g(i) = -\gamma_{-i}$ attached to the multiplicative character $\chi^i$ of the prime field (e. g. see [5]). As examples we mention

- $p = 2, \ A_2 = \mathbb{Z}, \ \omega_1 = 1, \ \omega_2 = 2$;
- $p = 3, \ A_3 = \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \right], \ \omega_1 = 1, \ \omega_2 = -1, \ \omega_3 = -3$;
- $p = 5, \ A_4 = \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{i}{2 (2+i)} \right]$, where $i = \chi_5(2)$ and $i^2 = -1$,
  \begin{align*}
  \omega_1 &= 1, \ \omega_2 = -i(2+i), \ \omega_3 = (2+i)^3, \ \omega_4 = -(3+i)^3, \ \omega_5 = -5(2+i)^2.
  \end{align*}
We now continue our discussion on the S-group $G$. Let

$$S_{e_s}[I_1] = e_s \oplus I_1 \oplus I_1^{\otimes 2} \oplus \ldots$$

denote the symmetric algebra generated by $I_1$ over $e_s$. Lemma 2 shows that the homomorphism $S_{e_s}[I_1] \to A$ induced by the inclusion $I_1 \subseteq A$ is surjective, and that its kernel is the ideal generated by $(a - 1) \otimes I_1^{\otimes p}$, where

$$(a) \in \Gamma(S, I_1^{\otimes (1-p)}) = \text{Hom}_{e_s}(I_1^{\otimes p}, I_1)$$

is the element corresponding to the homomorphism $I_1^{\otimes p} \to I_1$ induced by the multiplication in $A$.

Let $G' = \text{Spec}(A')$ be the Cartier dual of $G$ and let $I'_1$, $I'_1$, and $a' \in \Gamma(S, (I'_1)^{\otimes (p-1)})$ be the analogs for $G'$ of $I_1$, $I_1$, and $a$ for $G$. Note that the notation is consistent as $(I'_1)' = I_1$, and $(I'_1)' = (e_s I'_1)' = I_1$. By theorem 1 the Cartier pairing $G \times G' \to \mathcal{G}_{m, S}$ factors through $\mathfrak{p}_{p, S}$ and is therefore given by a homomorphism

$$\varphi : B_s = e_s \otimes A = e_s[y] \to A \otimes_{e_s} A'.$$

**Lemma 3.** — The image $\varphi(y)$ of $y$ is a generating section of $I_1 \otimes I_1$; if we use it to identify $I_1$ with $I_1^{\otimes (p-1)}$, then $a \otimes a' = \omega_p, I_{e_s}$.

**Proof.** — The Cartier pairing $(\xi, \xi') \mapsto \langle \xi, \xi' \rangle$ satisfies

$$\langle \xi^m, (\xi')^n \rangle = \langle \xi, \xi' \rangle^{mn}.$$ 

Hence, for all $m, n \in \mathbb{F}_p$

$$([m] \otimes [n]) \varphi y = \varphi ([mn]y) = \varphi (\chi(mn)y) = \chi(m)\chi(n)\varphi y.$$ 

On the other hand, by lemma 2, $I_1 \otimes I_1$ consists of the local sections $h$ of $A \otimes A'$ which satisfy $([m] \otimes [n])h = \gamma'(m)\gamma'(n)h$; hence $\varphi y \in \Gamma(S, I_1 \otimes I_1')$. Clearly $\varphi y$ does not vanish at any point $s \in S$, for if it did, then the Cartier pairing on the fibre $G_s \times G'_s \to \mathcal{G}_{m, S}$ would degenerate. Since $\varphi$ is a homomorphism of algebras, $\omega_p, \varphi y = (\varphi y)^p = (\varphi y)^{\otimes p} \otimes a \otimes a'$, and this shows that $a \otimes a' = \omega_p$, if we identify $I_1 \otimes I_1'$ with $e_s$ in such a way that $\varphi y = 1$. This proves the lemma.

**Theorem 2.** — For any prescheme $S$ over $\text{Spec}(A)$, the map $G \mapsto (I_1, a, a')$ discussed above gives a bijection between the isomorphism classes of $S$-groups of order $p$ and the isomorphism classes of triples $(L, a, b)$ consisting of an invertible $\mathcal{O}_S$-module $L$, a section $a \in \Gamma(S, L^{\otimes (p-1)})$, and a section $b \in \Gamma(S, L^{\otimes (1-p)})$, such that $a \otimes b = \omega_p, I_{e_s}$.

**Proof.** — It is clear from lemma 3 and the discussion preceding it, that from a triple $(I_1, a, a')$ we can reconstruct the $S$-preschemes $G$ and
G' (at first without the group structures), together with the Cartier morphism $G \times G' \to \mathbf{m}_{p,s}$. Indeed, $A$ is the quotient of the symmetric algebra $s[(I_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes I_i]$ by an ideal determined by $a$, $A'$ is the quotient of $s[I_i]$ by an ideal determined by $a'$, and the homomorphism $\varphi : B[y] \to A \otimes A'$ is determined by $\varphi y = 1 \in (I_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes I_i = \mathcal{O}_S$. But the Cartier morphism determines the group structures on $G$ and $G'$, because it gives for each $S$-prescheme $T$ a map

$$G(T) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{schemes}}(G' \times_s T, \mathbf{m}_{p,T})$$

which identifies $G(T)$ with a subgroup of $\mathbf{m}_p(G' \times_s T)$. The law of composition thus induced on the functor $T \mapsto G(T)$ determines the law of composition in $G$ (for an explicit description of the functor in terms of the data $(I_i, a, a')$, see remark 1 below).

To complete the proof of the theorem, we have only to show that every triple $(L, a, b)$ comes from a group scheme. The problem is obviously local on the base $S$, so we can suppose $S$ is affine and that $L$ is free of rank 1, say $S = \text{Spec}(R)$, and $L = R$. The problem is to show that for every $A$-algebra $R$ and for every pair of elements $a, b \in R$ such that $ab = \omega_p \cdot 1_R$, the triple $(R, a, b)$ comes from an $R$-group of order $p$.

Let $F$ denote the field of fractions of $A$, and let $U$ be an indeterminate. By the proposition above, the group $\mathbf{m}_{p,F(U)}$ is equal to $\text{Spec}(A)$, where

$$A = F(U)[y], \quad y^p = \omega_p y,$$

with

$$s y = y \otimes 1 + y \otimes y + \frac{1}{1 - p} \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{i} y^i \otimes y^{p-i}$$

and $[m]y = \zeta(m) y$. Let $Y = U^{-1} y \in A$. Then

$$A = F(U)[Y], \quad Y^p = \omega_p U^{-p} Y,$$

and

$$s Y = Y \otimes 1 + Y \otimes Y + \frac{1}{1 - p} \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{i} y^i \otimes y^{p-i}$$

and $[m]Y = \zeta(m) Y$. Now let

$$R_o = A[\omega_p U^{-p}, U^{p-1}] \subset F(U) \quad \text{and} \quad C = R_o[Y] \subset A.$$

From the formulas above, we see that $C$ is free of rank $p$ over $R_o$, and that $s(C) \subset C \otimes R_o C$ (we identify $C \otimes R_o C$ with its image in $A \otimes R A$). Also $[-1]C \subset C$. Hence we can define an $R_o$-group $G$ of order $p$ by $G = \text{Spec}(C)$, with the multiplication induced by $s|C$. Clearly

$$R_o \cong A[N_1, N_2]/(N_1 N_2 - \omega_p).$$

Hence a triple \((R, a, b)\) determines a homomorphism of \(A\)-algebras
\(h : R_0 \to R\) such that \(h(w_p U^{1-p}) = a\) and \(h(U^{p-1}) = b\), and then it follows
from the explicit formulas for the structure of \(G\) that the triple \((R, a, b)\)
comes from the group \(G \otimes_{h^*} R\) deduced from \(G\) by the base extension
via \(h\) (see remark 1 below). This concludes the proof of theorem 2.

Remarks. — 1. The functors \(G^1_{n,b}\). Let \((L, a, b)\) be a triple as in
theorem 2 for the prescheme \(S\); it corresponds to an \(S\)-group \(G^1_{n,b}\)
of order \(p\) (determined up to isomorphism); hence for every prescheme
\(T \to S\) we are given a group \(G^1_{n,b}(T)\), and we now describe this group
more explicitly. Let \(\xi \in G^1_{n,b}(T)\); the evaluation of local sections of
\(I_1\) by \(\xi\) gives a homomorphism
\[ x = (I_1 \rightarrow e_\alpha \rightarrow e_{1}) , \quad G = G^1_{n,b}, \]
and, as \(I_1\) can be identified with \(L^{\otimes -1}\), this is a section
\[ x \in \text{Hom}_{\text{cts}}(I_1^{\otimes -1}, e_{T}) = \Gamma(T, L \otimes_{e_\alpha} e_{T}) ; \]
as \(I_1\) generates the \(e_\alpha\)-algebra which defines \(G\), the correspondence between
\(\xi \in G^1_{n,b}(T)\) and \(x \in \Gamma(T, L \otimes_{e_\alpha} e_{T})\) is one-to-one; identifying \(\xi\) with \(x\),
we have
\[ G^1_{n,b}(T) = \{ x \in \Gamma(T, L \otimes_{e_\alpha} e_{T}) | x^{\otimes p} = a \otimes x \} . \]
In these terms the group structure on this group is given by the multiplication \(\star\) [use (13)] :
\[ x_1 \star x_2 = x_1 + x_2 + c \otimes D_p (x_1 \otimes 1_i \otimes x_2) , \quad c = \frac{b}{w_{p-1}} , \quad ac = p , \]
where \(D_p \in \Lambda[X_1, X_2]\) is the polynomial given by
\[ D_p (X_1, X_2) = \frac{w_{p-1}}{1 - p} \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} X_i \frac{X_{p-i}}{w_i} ; \]
also \(x^m = [m] x = \gamma(m) x\) for \(m \in \mathbb{F}_p\); in particular \(x = 0\) is the neutral
element in \(G^1_{n,b}(T)\), and \(x^{*-1} = -x\), for \(p \neq 2\). Note that the poly-
nomial \(D_p \in \Lambda_p[X_1, X_2]\) is characterised by the following properties :
each term of \(D_p\) has degree at least \(1\) and at most \(p - 1\) in both \(X_1\) and \(X_2\),
and if \(x' = x_1, x'' = x_2\), then
\[ (x_1 + x_2 + pD_p (x_1, x_2))^p = x_1 + x_2 + pD_p (x_1, x_2) ; \]
without the results of this section it can be proved easily that there exists
a unique \(D_p \in \mathbb{Z}_p[X_1, X_2]\) having these properties, and that
\[ D_p = \frac{1}{p} \{ (X_1 + X_2)^p - X_1^p - X_2^p \} \mod p . \]
2. Cartier duality. Let $M = L^\otimes(-1)$. Then $G_{a, b}^L$ and $G_{a, b}^n$ are in canonical Cartier duality via the pairing

$$G_{a, b}(T) \times G_{a, b}^n(T) \to G_{a, b}^n(T) = \mathbf{p}_T(T) \to \Gamma(T, \mathcal{O}_T^*) = G_m(T)$$

which is given by

$$x \times x' \mapsto x \otimes x' \mapsto 1 + \frac{t}{1 - p} \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} (x \otimes x')^i.$$

3. Characteristic $p$. Suppose $S$ is a prescheme such that $p \mathcal{O}_S = 0$. Then $S$ is over $\mathbb{F}_p \cong \Lambda/p\Lambda$, hence over $\Lambda$, theorem 2 applies, and the condition on $a$ and $b$ is simply $a \otimes b = 0$. The homomorphisms $F = \text{"Frobenius"}$ and $V = \text{"Verschiebung"}$,

$$G_{a, b}^L = G^F \otimes G^{p} = G^L \otimes G^F \otimes G^{p},$$

are given by $F(x) = x \otimes p = a \otimes x$ and $V(x') = b \otimes x'$. Hence we are given $\mathcal{O}_S$-linear maps

$$(19) \begin{align*}
F : & L \to L \otimes p, \quad \text{by} \quad x \mapsto a \otimes x \in L \otimes (p-1) \otimes L = L \otimes p, \\
V : & L \otimes p \to L, \quad \text{by} \quad x' \mapsto b \otimes x' \in L \otimes (1-p) \otimes L \otimes p = L,
\end{align*}$$

such that

$$FV = [p] = VF, \quad \text{with} \quad [p] = 0.$$

These data can be gathered together if $S = \text{Spec}(k)$, where $k$ is a perfect field: in that case they correspond in a one-to-one way to giving a module of length one over the ring $k[F, V]$ (this ring is not commutative if $\mathbb{F}_p \not\cong k$), namely $G_{a, b}^k$ corresponds to the Dieudonné module $k[F, V]/k[F, V] \cdot (F - a, V - b^{1/p})$. Thus one could hope that data of the same kind as (19) subject to the relation $FV = [p] = VF$ could generalize the notion of a Dieudonné module in case of arbitrary $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebras.

If moreover $F = 0$, we have $a = 0$. Then $b$ is arbitrary, and theorem 2 shows that the $S$-groups $G$ of order $p$ for which $F = 0$ correspond to locally free sheaves $L$ on $S$ furnished with a $p$-linear endomorphism $f \mapsto b \otimes f^{\otimes p}$, that is, to $p$-Lie algebras $L = \text{Lie} G$ which are locally free of rank one over $\mathcal{O}_S$. This is well known (cf. [1], exp. VII, 7).

4. The case $p = 2$. If $p = 2$, then $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}$, and theorem 2 gives a classification of groups of order 2 over any base $S$.

5. Complete local rings of residue characteristic $p$. Let $R$ be a $\Lambda_p$-algebra such that any projective module of rank one over $R$ is free; for example $R$ could be any complete noetherian local ring with residue characteristic $p$. 

Then we can forget about \( L \) in the statement, and we can formulate it as follows: given \( a, c \in \mathbb{R} \) such that \( ac = p \), let \( G_{a,b}^e = G_{e,ac,p}^e \); then 
\( (a, c) \mapsto G_{a,b}^e \) gives a bijection between equivalence classes of factorizations \( p = ac \) of \( p \) in \( \mathbb{R} \) and isomorphism classes of \( \mathbb{R} \)-groups of order \( p \), where two factorizations \( p = a_1c_1 \) and \( p = a_2c_2 \) are called equivalent if there exists an invertible element \( u \in \mathbb{R} \) such that \( a_2 = u^{p-1}a_1 \) and \( c_2 = u^{1-p}c_1 \).

6. The étale case. It is easy to see that \( G_{a,b}^e \) is étale over \( S \) if and only if the section \( a \) of \( L^{\otimes (p-1)} \) is invertible, i.e. non vanishing at every point. When this is the case, \( b = \omega_p \otimes a^{(p-1)} \) is uniquely determined by \( a \), and we write \( G_{a,b}^e \) (resp. \( G_{a,b}^c \)) instead of \( G_{a,b}^e \) (resp. \( G_{a,b}^c \)).

Let us now digress for a moment and suppose that \( S \) is connected and non-empty, but not necessarily over \( \text{Spec}(A) \); let \( S = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_S) \) be a universal covering and \( \pi = \text{Gal}(\mathcal{O}_S/\mathcal{O}_S) \) its Galois group. Then for any étale \( S \)-group \( G \) of order \( p \), \( G(S) \) is a cyclic group of order \( p \) on which \( \pi \) operates. It is well known that \( G \) is determined up to isomorphism by the \( \pi \)-module \( G(S) \), or, what is the same, by the corresponding representation \( \psi : \pi \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p \), and that every continuous character \( \psi \) of \( \pi \) with values in \( \mathbb{F}_p \) comes from an étale group of order \( p \) over \( S \). If \( S \) is over \( \text{Spec}(A) \), the connection between classification by Galois characters \( \psi \) and our classification by couples \((L, a)\) is given by Kummer theory: for an étale \( G_{a}^e \), the group \( G_{a}^e(S) \) consists of the sections \( x \in I(S, L \otimes \mathcal{O}_S) \) such that \( x^{\otimes p} = a \otimes x \), that is, of \( x = 0 \), and the \((p - 1)\) sections \( x \) such that \( x^{\otimes (p-1)} = a \). If we designate any one of the latter by \( \psi_\sigma \), \( a \), then the Galois character \( \psi_\sigma \) attached to \( G_{a,b}^e \) satisfies

\[
\psi_\sigma^p \chi(a, L) = \chi(\phi_\sigma^e \psi_\sigma^e) \psi(a, L)
\]

and is therefore given by

\[
\phi_\sigma^e \psi_\sigma^e = \chi^{-1}(a, L)^{(p-1)}(\sigma^{p-1}).
\]

3. Groups of order \( p \) over rings of integers in number fields.

— Let \( K \) be an algebraic number field of finite degree over \( \mathbb{Q} \), and let \( R \) be an integrally closed subring of \( K \) whose field of fractions is \( K \). Let \( M \) be the set of non-generic points of \( \text{Spec}(R) \), or, what is the same, the set of non-trivial discrete valuations \( \nu \) of \( K \) whose valuation ring \( \mathcal{O}_\nu \) contains \( R \). For each \( \nu \in M \), let \( R_\nu \) denote the completion of \( R \) at \( \nu \), and let \( K_\nu \) denote the \( \nu \)-adic completion of \( K \) (i.e. the field of fractions of \( R_\nu \)).

Our aim in this section is to classify \( R \)-groups \( G \) of order \( p \). The principle on which this classification is based is that to give such a \( G \) is the...
same thing as to give its generic fiber $H = G \otimes_R K$ together with, for each $\nu \in M$, an $R_\nu$-group $G_\nu = G \otimes_R R_\nu$ whose generic fiber is $H_\nu = H \otimes_K K_\nu$.

This principle can be stated more precisely as:

**Lemma 4.** — Let $p$ be a prime number, and let $E$ be the functor which associates with each commutative ring with unity $X$ the set $E(X)$ of isomorphism classes of $X$-groups of order $p$. Then the square

$$
\begin{array}{c}
E(R) \rightarrow \prod_{\nu \in M} E(R_\nu) \\
\downarrow \downarrow \\
E(K) \rightarrow \prod_{\nu \in M} E(K_\nu)
\end{array}
$$

is cartesian.

For the proof of lemma 4 we need the following:

**Lemma 5.** — Let $G$ be a finite $S$-group of order $m$. Suppose $m$ is invertible in $\mathcal{O}_S$. Then $G$ is étale over $S$.

This is well-known, we sketch a proof. By EGA IV, 17.6.2 ($a \Rightarrow c^*$), we are reduced to the case $S = \text{Spec}(k)$, where $k$ is an algebraically closed field. In that case, the problem is to show that the connected component $G^o$ of $G$ is trivial. If $H = G^o \neq 1$, then $\text{char}(k) = p \neq 0$, and $p$ divides the order of $G^o = H$; in fact, as in the proof of lemma 1, a non-trivial $k$-derivation of $\Gamma(H, \mathcal{O}_n)$ defines a non-trivial homomorphism $H' \rightarrow G_\alpha$ of the dual of $H$ into the additive group, hence either $\alpha, \subset H$ or $\mu, \subset H$ (in fact, it is known that the order of a finite connected $k$-group, commutative or not, is a power of the characteristic exponent of $k$, cf. [1], VII,5.2, theorem). As $m$ is invertible in $\mathcal{O}_S$ however, the characteristic of $k$ does not divide the order of $G^o$, and the lemma is proved.

*Proof of lemma 4.* — Suppose $G = \text{Spec}(A)$ is an $R$-group of order $p$. For each $\nu \in M$ we have a diagram of injective maps

$$
\begin{array}{c}
A \rightarrow A \otimes_R R_\nu \\
\downarrow \downarrow \\
A \otimes_R K \rightarrow A \otimes_R K_\nu
\end{array}
$$

in which we can view the vertical arrows as inclusions. Then

$$
A = \{ f \in A \otimes_R K \mid i_\nu f \in A \otimes_R R_\nu, \forall \nu \in M \}.
$$

Indeed, this holds for any projective $R$-module $A$, because it is true for $A = R$, hence for $A$ free, hence for $A$ a direct summand of a free $R$-module.
On the other hand, the multiplication and comultiplication in $A$ are induced by the same operations in $A \otimes_n K$. Thus we can recover $G$ from its generic fiber $G \otimes_n K = G_k$ together with the $R_v$-groups $G \otimes_n R_v$, $\nu \in M$. Since the automorphism groups of the groups $G_k$, $G_n$, and $G_k$, are all isomorphic (to $F^*_\nu$), it follows that the isomorphism class of $G$ is determined by those of $G_k$ and of the $G_n$. Thus the map of $E(R)$ into the fibered product of $E(K)$ and $\prod E(R_v)$ over $\prod E(K_v)$ given by the commutative square (21) is injective.

To prove it is surjective, let $H = \text{Spec}(B)$ be a $K$-group of order $p$, and for each $\nu \in M$, let $G_\nu = \text{Spec}(A_\nu)$ be an $R_\nu$-group of order $p$ whose generic fiber is $H_\nu = H \times_k K_\nu = \text{Spec}(B \otimes_k K_\nu)$. For each $\nu \in M$ we have a diagram of injective maps

\[
A_\nu \\
\downarrow \\
B \rightarrow B \otimes_k K_\nu
\]

in which we can view the vertical arrow as an inclusion. Since $p$ is invertible in $K$, the $K$-algebra $B$ is étale, and similarly, the $R_\nu$-algebra $A_\nu$ is étale for every $\nu \in M$ not dividing $p$ (cf. lemma 5), hence for all but a finite number of $\nu$ in $M$. Let

\[
A = \{ f \in B | i, j \in A_\nu, \forall \nu \in M \},
\]

and let $A^c$ be the integral closure of $A$ in $B$. From what has just been said it follows that $A^c$ is a finitely generated $R$-module spanning $B$ (i.e. is an order in $B$), and that $A_\nu = A^c \otimes_n R_\nu$ for all $\nu$ not dividing $m$. Since $A_\nu$ is an order in $B \otimes_k K_\nu$ for every $\nu$, we conclude that $A$ is an order in $B$ such that $A \otimes_n R_\nu = A_\nu$ for all $\nu$. The comultiplication in $B$ induces one in $A$ which makes $G = \text{Spec}(A)$ an $R$-group of order $p$ such that $G \times_n R_\nu = G_\nu$ for each $\nu$ and $G \times_n K = H$. This concludes the proof of lemma 4.

Let $C_k$ denote the idèle class group of $K$, and for each $\nu \in M$, let $U_\nu$ denote the group of units in $R_\nu$.

**Lemma 6.** — There are canonical bijections

\[
(22) \quad E(K) \cong \text{Homcont}(G_k, F^*_\nu),
\]

\[
(23) \quad E(K_\nu) \cong \text{Homcont}(K^*_\nu, F^*_\nu) \quad (\nu \in M),
\]

and

\[
(24) \quad E(R_\nu) \cong \text{Homcont}(K^*_\nu/U_\nu, F^*_\nu) \quad (\nu \in M, \nu \not| p),
\]
where Homcont denotes continuous homomorphisms. Via these bijections the arrows in the diagram (21) are induced by the canonical homomorphisms $K_v^* \to C_k$ and $K_v^* \to K_v^*/U_v$.

This is clear from lemma 4 and remark (6) of section 2, using the following commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
C_k & \longrightarrow & \pi(K)^{ab} \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
K_v^* & \longrightarrow & \pi(K_v)^{ab} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
K_v^*/U_v & \longrightarrow & \pi(R_v)^{ab}.
\end{array}
$$

The horizontal arrows are the reciprocity law homomorphisms. It is well known that they become isomorphisms if the groups on the left are replaced by their completions with respect to their open subgroups of finite index (for example, cf. [3], 2.7 and [4], 5.1). Hence they induce isomorphisms of the groups of continuous characters with values in a finite group like $\mathbb{F}_p$.

Let $M_\nu$ denote the set of $\nu \in M$ such that $\nu$ divides $p$. For $\nu \in M_\nu$, let $k_\nu$ denote the residue field of $R_\nu$, let $q_\nu$ be the number of elements in $k_\nu$, and let $x \mapsto \bar{x}$ denote the residue class map $R_\nu \to k_\nu$.

**Lemma 7.** — Suppose $\nu \in M_\nu$. Let $a \in K_v^*$, and let $\varphi_\nu \in \text{Homcont}(K_v^*, \mathbb{F}_p^*)$ be the homomorphism which corresponds by (28) to the isomorphism class of the $K_v^*$-group $(G_v^*)^\nu$ (cf. remark 5 of section 2). Then

$$
\varphi_\nu(x) = \frac{q_\nu^{-1}}{N_{K_v/K}}(\bar{\varphi}(a, x)), \quad \text{for } x \in K_v^*,
$$

where

$$
c(a, x) = (-1)^{e(a, x)} \frac{\varphi^{(\nu)}(a)}{\varphi^{(\nu)}(x)},
$$

In particular,

$$
\varphi_\nu(a) = (\bar{a})^{-\nu(a)} = (N_{K_v/K}(\bar{a}))^{-\nu(a)}, \quad \text{for } a \in U_v.
$$

By (20) we have

$$
\varphi_\nu(x) = \chi_\nu^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}a^{\nu(a)}) = \left(\frac{\chi_\nu(x)}{\beta}\right),
$$

where $\sigma_x$ is the automorphism of the maximal abelian extension $K^{ab}$ of $K$ which corresponds to $x$ under the reciprocity law, and where $\beta \in K^{ab}$ with $\beta^{p-1} = a$. In other words, $\varphi_\nu(x) = (a, x)_\nu$, the $(p-1)$ norm residue symbol for $K_v$ (cf. [2], chap. XIV, § 2, propos. 6). The lemma now follows.
from the explicit formula for the tame norm residue symbol (loc. cit., prop. 8 and corollary).

If G is an R-group of order p, we shall denote by \( \varphi^v \in \text{Hom}(C_\kappa, \mathbb{F}_p^*) \) the idèle class character determined by \( G \otimes_{K} K \) via (22), and by \( \varphi^v \) the corresponding character of \( K_\nu^* \), for each \( v \in M \). For each \( v \in M_p \), we let \( n_v^e = \nu(a) \), where \( a \in R_v \) is such that \( G \otimes_{K} R_v \cong (G_\nu^* \otimes_{\nu})_\nu \) in the notation of remark 5 of section 2. Note that \( a \) is determined modulo \( U_{\nu}^{-1} \) by \( G \otimes_{K} R_v \), hence \( n_v^e \) is uniquely determined by \( G \).

**Theorem 3.** — The map \( G \mapsto (\varphi^v, (n_v^e)_{v \in M_p}) \) gives a bijection between the isomorphism classes of R-groups of order p and the systems \( (\psi, (n_v)_{v \in M_p}) \) consisting of a continuous homomorphism \( \psi : C_\kappa \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^* \) and for each \( v \in M_p \), an integer \( n_v \) such that \( 0 \leq n_v \leq \nu(p) \), which satisfy the following two conditions:

(i) For \( v \in M - M_p \), \( \psi \) is unramified at \( v \), i.e. \( \psi_\nu(U_\nu) = 1 \);
(ii) For \( v \in M_p \), \( \psi_\nu(u) = (N_{K_\nu/K}(\tilde{u}))^{-n_v} \) for all \( u \in U_\nu \);

where \( \psi_\nu : K_\nu^* \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^* \) denotes the local character induced by \( \psi \) via the canonical map \( K_\nu^* \rightarrow C_\kappa^* \).

**Proof.** — Let \( G \) be an R-group of order p. Then \( 0 \leq n_v^e \leq \nu(p) \) because \( n_v^e = \nu(a) \) where \( a \) is an element of \( R_v \) dividing p in \( R_v \). The character \( \varphi^v \) is unramified at each \( v \in M - M_p \) by (24). For \( v \in M_p \), the character \( \varphi^v \) satisfies (ii) by (26). Hence the system \( (\varphi^v, (n_v^e)_{v \in M_p}) \) satisfies the conditions of the theorem.

Conversely, let \( (\psi, (n_v)_{v \in M_p}) \) be a system satisfying the conditions of the theorem. By lemma 6, there exists a K-group \( H \) of order p, determined up to isomorphism, which isomorphism class corresponds to \( \psi \) under the bijection (22). Similarly, by (i) and lemma 6, there exists for each \( v \in M - M_p \) an \( R_v \)-group \( G_v \), determined up to isomorphism, whose isomorphism class corresponds to \( \psi_\nu \) under the bijection (24), and we have, again by lemma 6,

\[
(27) \quad G_v \otimes_{K_v} K_v \cong H \otimes_{K} K_v.
\]

By lemma 4, the theorem will be proved if we can show for each \( v \in M_p \) that there exists an \( R_v \)-group \( G_v \cong G_\nu^* \otimes_{\nu} (\text{cf. remark 5 of section 2}) \) determined up to isomorphism, such that \( \nu(a) = n_v \) and such that (27) holds. By remark 5 and lemma 7, this amounts to showing that there exists an \( a \) dividing p in \( R_v \) such that \( \nu(a) = n_v \) and \( \psi_v = \varphi_v \) (in the notation of lemma 7), and that these conditions determine \( a \) up to multiplication by an element of \( U_{\nu}^{-1} \). Since the pairing

\[
(\cdot, \cdot)_v : K_v^*/(K_v^*)^{p-1} \times K_v^*/(K_v^*)^{p-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p
\]
is non-degenerate (cf. [2], chap. XIV, § 2, propos. 7 (vi)), there exists an $a \in \mathbb{K}_n$ unique mod($\mathbb{K}_n^{\times} )^{p-1}$, such that $\varphi_n = \varphi$. Comparison of (26) and (ii) shows then that $\varphi(a) = n_c \bmod (p - 1)$, because the norm homomorphism $k^\times \to \mathbb{F}_p^\times$ is surjective and $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$ is cyclic of order $p - 1$. Changing $a$ by a $(p-1)$-th power, we can achieve that $\varphi(a) = n_c$, and $a$ is then determined mod($\mathbb{K}_n^{\times} \cap U_c$), i.e. mod$U_c^{\times}$. Since $0 \leq n_c \leq \varphi(p)$, $a$ is an element of $\mathbb{R}_n$ dividing $p$. This completes the proof of theorem 3.

As an example, let us consider the case in which $R$ is the ring of algebraic integers in $K$. Then, for a given family of integers $(n_c)_\in \mathbb{N}_p$, there is either no idèle class character $\psi$ satisfying (i) and (ii), or the set of all such is a principal homogeneous space under the group of homomorphisms of the ideal class group of $K$ into $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$. In particular, if the class number of $K$ is prime to $(p - 1)$, then there is at most one $\psi$ for each family $(n_c)$. The number of families $(n_c)$ is $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}_p}(\varphi(p) + 1)$. If $p$ is prime in $R$, then there are just two families, namely $n_c = 0$, or $n_c = 1$, for the unique $\psi$ above $p$. Hence:

**Corollary.** — If $R = \mathbb{Z}$, or more generally, if $R$ is the ring of integers in a field of class number prime to $p - 1$ such that $pR$ is a prime ideal in $R$, then the only $R$-groups of order $p$ are $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})_n$ and $\mathbb{P}_{p,n}$.

**REFERENCES.**


(Manuscrit reçu le 8 juillet 1969.)

John T. Tate,  
Department of Mathematics,  
Harvard University,  
Cambridge, Mass. 02138, U. S. A.

Frans Oort,  
Mathematisch Instituut,  
Roetersstraat 15, Amsterdam C,  
The Netherlands.