

2.3.5. Bloch-Redfield (or Wangsness-Bloch-Redfield) equations

The Markovian master eq. yields the so called WBR equations when the superoperator kernel is evaluated up to 2nd order.

These are a set of coupled differential eq. for the elements of the RDM evaluated in the basis which diagonalizes \hat{H}_S .

In other words, starting point is the HME (for simplicity we assume \hat{H}_S to be time-independent)

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\rho}_{red,I}(t) = & -\frac{1}{\hbar^2} \int_0^\infty dt'' \sum_{ij} \left\{ [\hat{Q}_i(t), \hat{Q}_j(t-t'')] \hat{\rho}_{red,I}(t'') \right\} \langle \hat{F}_i(t'') | \hat{F}_j \rangle_B \\ & - [\hat{Q}_i(t), \hat{\rho}_{red,I}(t) \hat{Q}_j(t-t'')] \langle \hat{F}_j | \hat{F}_i(t'') \rangle_B \end{aligned} \quad (2.46)$$

We now introduce the eigenstates $|m\rangle$ of \hat{H}_S of energy E_m . It holds:

$$\langle m | \hat{Q}_i(t) | n \rangle = e^{i\omega_{mn}t} \langle m | \hat{Q}_i | n \rangle \quad (2.47)$$

$$\text{with } \omega_{mn} = \frac{E_m - E_n}{\hbar} \quad (2.48)$$

Introducing the tensors:

$$\Gamma_{mkn}^+ = \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \sum_{ij} \langle m | \hat{Q}_i | k \rangle \langle l | \hat{Q}_j | n \rangle \int_0^\infty dt'' e^{-i\omega_{kn}t''} \langle \hat{F}_i(t'') | \hat{F}_j \rangle_B$$

$$\Gamma_{mkn}^- = \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \sum_{ij} \langle m | \hat{Q}_j | k \rangle \langle l | \hat{Q}_i | n \rangle \int_0^\infty dt'' e^{-i\omega_{mk}t''} \langle \hat{F}_j | \hat{F}_i(t'') \rangle_B$$

one obtains

$$\langle m' | \dot{\rho}_{red,I} | m \rangle = \sum_{kn} \langle n' | \hat{\rho}_{red,I}(t) | n \rangle e^{i(\omega_{m'n'} - \omega_{mn})t} \quad (2.49)$$

$$\left\{ -\sum_k \delta_{mn} \Gamma_{m'kkn'}^+ + \Gamma_{nm'm'n'}^+ + \Gamma_{nm'm'n'}^- - \sum_k \delta_{n'm'} \Gamma_{nkkm}^- \right\} \quad (2.50)$$

$\equiv R_{m'm'n'n}$ Redfield tensor: time independent!

Proof of (2.50) starting from (2.46)

$$\hat{p}_{red, I}(t) = -\frac{1}{\hbar^2} \int_0^\infty dt'' \sum_{ij} \left\{ [\hat{Q}_i(t), \hat{Q}_j(t-t'')] \hat{p}_{red, I}(t) \langle \hat{F}_i(t'') \hat{F}_j \rangle_B \right. \\ \left. - [\hat{Q}_i(t), \hat{p}_{red, I}(t) \hat{Q}_j(t-t'')] \langle \hat{F}_j \hat{F}_i(t'') \rangle_B \right\}$$

We project on the system eigenstates:

$$(\hat{p}_{red, I})_{m'm} = -\frac{1}{\hbar^2} \int_0^\infty dt'' \sum_{ij} \sum_{kl} \left\{ [\langle m' | \hat{Q}_i(t) | k \rangle \langle k | \hat{Q}_j(t-t'') | l \rangle \langle l | \hat{p}_{red, I} | m \rangle \right. \\ \left. - \langle m' | \hat{Q}_j(t-t'') | k \rangle \langle k | \hat{p}_{red, I} | l \rangle \langle l | \hat{Q}_i(t) | m \rangle \right] \langle \hat{F}_i(t'') \hat{F}_j \rangle_B \\ - [\langle m' | \hat{Q}_i(t) | k \rangle \langle k | \hat{p}_{red, I} | l \rangle \langle l | \hat{Q}_j(t-t'') | m \rangle \\ - \langle m' | \hat{p}_{red, I} | k \rangle \langle k | \hat{Q}_j(t-t'') | l \rangle \langle l | \hat{Q}_i(t) | m \rangle] \langle \hat{F}_j \hat{F}_i(t'') \rangle_B \Big\}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{\hbar^2} \int_0^\infty dt'' \sum_{ij} \sum_{kk'} \left\{ \left[\sum_k \langle m' | \hat{Q}_i(t) | k \rangle \langle k | \hat{Q}_j(t-t'') | n' \rangle \langle n' | \hat{p}_{red, I} | n \rangle \delta_{nm} \right. \right. \\ \left. - \langle m' | \hat{Q}_j(t-t'') | n' \rangle \langle n' | \hat{p}_{red, I} | n \rangle \langle n | \hat{Q}_i(t) | m \rangle \right] \langle \hat{F}_i(t'') \hat{F}_j \rangle_B \\ - \left[\langle m' | \hat{Q}_i(t) | n' \rangle \langle n' | \hat{p}_{red, I} | n \rangle \langle n | \hat{Q}_j(t-t'') | m \rangle \right. \\ \left. - \sum_k \delta_{n'm'} \langle n' | \hat{p}_{red, I} | n \rangle \langle n | \hat{Q}_j(t-t'') | k \rangle \langle k | \hat{Q}_i(t) | m \rangle \right] \langle \hat{F}_j \hat{F}_i(t'') \rangle_B \Big\}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{\hbar^2} \int_0^\infty dt'' \sum_{ij} \sum_{nn'} \left\{ \left[\sum_k e^{i(\omega_{m'k} + \omega_{kn'})t} \langle m' | \hat{Q}_i | k \rangle \langle k | \hat{Q}_j | n' \rangle e^{-i\omega_{kn't''}} \delta_{nm} \right. \right. \\ \left. - e^{i(\omega_{m'n'} - \omega_{nn'})t} \langle m' | \hat{Q}_j | n' \rangle \langle n' | \hat{Q}_i | m \rangle e^{-i\omega_{m'n't''}} \right] \langle \hat{F}_i(t'') \hat{F}_j \rangle_B \\ - \left[e^{i(\omega_{m'n'} - \omega_{nn'})t} \langle m' | \hat{Q}_i | m' \rangle \langle n | \hat{Q}_j | m \rangle e^{-i\omega_{nm't''}} \right. \\ \left. - \sum_k \delta_{n'm'} e^{i(\omega_{nk} - \omega_{mk})t} \langle n | \hat{Q}_j | k \rangle \langle k | \hat{Q}_i | m \rangle e^{-i\omega_{nk't''}} \right] \langle \hat{F}_j \hat{F}_i(t'') \rangle_B \Big\}$$

($\hat{p}_{red, I}$)_{n'n}

but

$$* e^{i(\omega_{mk} + \omega_{kn'})t} \delta_{nm} = e^{i(\omega_{m'n'} - \omega_{mn})t} \delta_{mn}$$

$$* e^{i(\omega_{nk} - \omega_{mk})t} \delta_{n'm'} = e^{i(\omega_{m'n'} - \omega_{mn})t} \delta_{m'n'}$$

Now we can identify the two types of rates (2.59)

Γ^+ associated to $\langle \hat{F}_i(t'') F_j \rangle$ and Γ^- associated to $\langle \hat{F}_j F_i(t'') \rangle$
and obtain (2.50).

In other words, it holds

$$\langle m' | \dot{\hat{p}}_{red, I} | m \rangle = \sum_{n, n'} \langle n' | \hat{p}_{red, I}(t) | n \rangle e^{i(\omega_{m'n'} - \omega_{mn})t} R_{m'mn'n} \quad (2.52)$$

or, with a more compact notation $\langle m' | \dot{p}_{red, I} | m \rangle = \dot{p}_{m'm}^I$

$$\dot{p}_{m'm}^I = R_{m'mm'm} \dot{p}_{m'm}^I + \sum_{\substack{n \neq m \\ \text{or} \\ n' \neq m'}} e^{i(\omega_{m'n'} - \omega_{mn})t} R_{m'mn'n} \dot{p}_{n'n}^I \quad (2.53)$$

or, alternatively

$$\dot{p}_{m'm}^I = \sum_{n, n'} e^{i(\omega_{m'm} - \omega_{n'n})t} R_{m'im'n'n} \dot{p}_{n'n}^I \quad (2.53b)$$

Note: in the Schrödinger picture. Let us recall (4.26...)

$$\hat{p}_{red} = e^{-i\hat{H}_S t/\hbar} \hat{p}_{red, I} e^{i\hat{H}_S t/\hbar}$$

which, when projected in the energy eigenbasis yields

$$(\dot{p}_{red})_{m'm} = e^{-i\omega_{m'm}t} (\dot{p}_{red, I})_{m'm} \quad (2.54a)$$

$$(\dot{p}_{red})_{m'm} = \underbrace{-i\omega_{m'm} e^{-i\omega_{m'm}t}}_{(\dot{p}_{red})_{m'm}} (\dot{p}_{red, I})_{m'm} + e^{-i\omega_{m'm}t} (\dot{p}_{red, I})_{m'm} \quad (2.54b)$$

It follows

$$\langle m' | \dot{\hat{p}}_{red} | m \rangle = -i\omega_{m'm} \langle m' | \hat{p}_{red} | m \rangle + \sum_{n, n'} \langle n' | \hat{p}_{red} | n \rangle R_{m'mn'n} \quad (2.55)$$

or, in operatorial terms (cf. Eq. 2.36)

$$\dot{\hat{p}}_{red} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} [\hat{H}_S, \hat{p}_{red}] + \mathcal{L} \hat{p}_{red} \quad (2.56)$$

The eq. of motion for the RDM in the Schrödinger picture is made up of two contributions, a unitary part and the one $\mathcal{L} \hat{p}_{red}$ describing irreversible processes.

2.3.6 Rotating wave approximation (RWA)

Inspection of (2.53b) suggests a further approximation, based on the observation that contributions which are slowly oscillating dominate. These are called secular terms satisfy

$$\omega_{m'n'} - \omega_{mn} \approx 0 \Leftrightarrow E_{m'} - E_{n'} - E_m + E_n \approx 0 \quad (2.57)$$

whereby \approx means that we do not have necessarily an exact zero but that $|\omega_{m'n'} - \omega_{mn}| < R_{m'm'n'n}$ as R reflects the energy level spread $\Delta\omega$ induced by the coupling to the reservoir. One has to distinguish 2 cases:

I) Non-degenerate levels It follows that

$$(m', m) \neq (n', n) \Rightarrow \omega_{m'n'} \neq 0 \text{ or } \omega_{mn} \neq 0$$

\Rightarrow the oscillatory contributions can only vanish if $\omega_{m'n'} = \omega_{mn}$

$$\text{i.e., } m'=m \text{ and } n'=n \quad (2.58)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \text{(RWA): } (\dot{\rho}_{red, I})_{m'm} &= (\rho_{red, I})_{m'm} R_{m'mm'm} + \\ &+ \delta_{m'm} \sum_{n \neq m} (\rho_{red, I})_{nn} R_{mnmn} \end{aligned} \quad (2.59)$$

(2.59) leads to the conclusion that in the RWA populations and coherences are decoupled in the non-degenerate case.

II) degenerate levels

Besides for the condition (2.58) one finds that (2.57) is verified also for

$$E_{m'} = E_m \quad \text{and} \quad E_{n'} = E_n \quad \text{but} \quad m' \neq m \quad \text{or} \quad n' \neq n$$

For example let us assume \bar{m} and \bar{m}' : $E_{\bar{m}'} = E_{\bar{m}}$ but $\bar{m}' \neq \bar{m}$
 On the other hand $\forall n, n' \neq \bar{m}$ and \bar{m}' : $E_n = E_{n'} \Leftrightarrow n = n'$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(RWA)} \quad (\dot{\rho}_{red, I})_{\bar{m}' \bar{m}} &= R_{\bar{m}' \bar{m} \bar{m}' \bar{m}} (\rho_{red, I})_{\bar{m}' \bar{m}} + \quad (2.60) \\ &+ R_{\bar{m}' \bar{m} \bar{m} \bar{m}'} (\rho_{red, I})_{\bar{m} \bar{m}'} + \sum_{\substack{n \neq \bar{m} \\ \text{or} \\ n \neq \bar{m}'}} R_{\bar{m}' \bar{m} n n} (\rho_{red, I})_{n n} \end{aligned}$$

An alternative, perhaps more intuitive, way of dealing with the (RWA) starts from the analysis of the MME in Schrödinger picture Eq. (2.55)

$$(\dot{\rho}_{red})_{m'm} = -i\omega_{m'm} (\rho_{red})_{m'm} + \sum_{n'n} R_{m'm n'n} (\rho_{red})_{n'n}$$

I) Non degenerate levels: i.e. $\omega_{m'm} \gg R_{m'm n'n}$ if $m' \neq m$.

$m' \neq m$ $(\dot{\rho}_{red})_{m'm} \approx -i\omega_{m'm} (\rho_{red})_{m'm} \rightarrow$ The equation for the coherence is easily solved

$$(\rho_{red})_{m'm}(t) = (\rho_{red})_{m'm}^0 e^{-i\omega_{m'm} t} \quad (2.61)$$

$m' = m$

$$(\dot{\rho}_{red})_{mm} = \sum_n R_{mm nn} (\rho_{red})_{nn} + \sum_{n \neq n} R_{mm n'n} (\rho_{red})_{n'n}^0 e^{-i\omega_{n'n} t}$$

$$(\dot{\rho}_{red})_{mm} = \sum_n R_{mmnn} (\rho_{red})_{nn} + \underbrace{- \sum_{n \neq n'} \left[R_{mmn'n} (\rho_{red})_{n'n} e^{-i\omega_{n'n}t} + R_{mmn'n'} (\rho_{red})_{nn'} e^{-i\omega_{nn't}t} \right]}_{(2.62)}$$

$$R_{mmn'n'} = \left\{ - \sum_k \delta_{mn} \Gamma_{mkkn'}^+ + \Gamma_{nmmn'}^+ + \Gamma_{nmmn'}^- - \sum_k \delta_{n'm} \Gamma_{n'kkm}^- \right\}$$

$$R_{mmn'n} = \left\{ - \sum_k \delta_{m'n'} \Gamma_{m'kkn}^+ + \Gamma_{n'm'mn}^+ + \Gamma_{n'm'mn}^- - \sum_k \delta_{nm} \Gamma_{n'kkm}^- \right\}$$

Since $\Gamma_{mkkn}^+ = \Gamma_{n'kkm}^-^*$ $\Rightarrow R_{mmn'n'} = R_{mmn'n}^*$ and (2.62)

becomes

$$(\dot{\rho}_{red})_{mm} = \sum_n R_{mmnn} (\rho_{red})_{nn} + \underbrace{2 \sum_{n \neq n'} \text{Re} \left[R_{mmn'n} (\rho_{red})_{n'n} e^{-i\omega_{n'n}t} \right]}$$

strongly oscillating and can be omitted from the equations. It gives random "kicks" to $(\rho_{red})_{mm}$.

\rightarrow populations and coherences have decoupled evolution.

II) degenerate or quasi-degenerate levels: $\exists m \neq m' : \omega_{m'm} \approx R_{m'm'n}$

The equation of motion for the coherences depends on ω and R . Moreover populations and coherences cannot be separated.

2.3.7 RWA in the nondegenerate case: Pauli master equation

These are equations for the diagonal elements of the RDM.

(Pauli master eq. 1928) They are widely spread in physics.

From (2.50) it follows that, in the RWA for non-deg. systems (2.59)

$$(\dot{\hat{\rho}}_{red, \pm})_{m'm} = \delta_{m'm} \sum_{n \neq m} W_{mn} (\hat{\rho}_{red, \pm})_{nn} - \gamma_{m'm} (\hat{\rho}_{red, \pm})_{m'm} \quad (2.63)$$

with

$$W_{mn} = \Gamma_{nmkm}^+ + \Gamma_{nmkm}^- \quad (2.64a)$$

$$\gamma_{m'm} = \sum_k (\Gamma_{m'kkm'}^+ + \Gamma_{m'kkm'}^-) - \Gamma_{mm'm'm'}^+ - \Gamma_{mm'm'm'}^- \quad (2.64b)$$

Notice that W_{mn} is real and $\gamma_{m'm} = \gamma_{mm'}^*$.

The proof relies on the observation that:

$$(\Gamma_{m'kkn}^-)^* = \Gamma_{nkkm}^+$$

In fact:

$$\begin{aligned} (\Gamma_{m'kkn}^-)^* &= \left[\frac{1}{\hbar^2} \sum_{ij} \langle m | \hat{Q}_j | k \rangle \langle l | \hat{Q}_i | n \rangle \int_0^\infty dt'' e^{-i\omega_{mk} t''} \langle \hat{F}_j \hat{F}_i(t'') \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}} \right]^* \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{\hbar^2} \sum_{ij} \langle k | \hat{Q}_i^\dagger | l \rangle \langle l | \hat{Q}_j^\dagger | m \rangle \int_0^\infty dt'' e^{i\omega_{mk} t''} \langle \hat{F}_i^\dagger(t'') \hat{F}_j^\dagger \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Using the relation $\sum_i \hat{Q}_i^\dagger \hat{F}_i^\dagger = \hat{V}^\dagger = \hat{V} = \sum_j \hat{Q}_j \hat{F}_j$ we can remove the dagger

$$= \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \sum_{ij} \langle n | \hat{Q}_i | l \rangle \langle k | \hat{Q}_j | m \rangle \int_0^\infty dt'' e^{-i\omega_{mk} t''} \langle \hat{F}_i(t'') \hat{F}_j \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}} = \Gamma_{nkkm}^+$$

Hence, it holds for W :

$$W_{mn}^* = \Gamma_{nmkm}^+ + \Gamma_{nmkm}^- = \Gamma_{nmkm}^- + \Gamma_{nmkm}^+ = W_{mn} \Leftrightarrow W_{mn} \in \mathbb{R}$$

And, for γ

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{m'm}^* &= \sum_k (\Gamma_{m'kkm'}^+ + \Gamma_{m'kkm'}^-) - \Gamma_{mm'm'm'}^+ - \Gamma_{mm'm'm'}^- = \sum_k (\Gamma_{m'kkm'}^- + \Gamma_{m'kkm'}^+) - \Gamma_{mm'm'm'}^- - \Gamma_{mm'm'm'}^+ \\ &= \gamma_{mm'} \end{aligned}$$

Back to the Schrödinger picture and introducing

$$\rho_{m'm}(t) := \langle m' | \hat{\rho}_{red}(t) | m \rangle \quad (2.65)$$

one finds the MME in the RWA

$$\dot{\rho}_{m'm} = -i\omega_{m'm} \rho_{m'm} + \delta_{m'm} \sum_{n \neq m} W_{mn} \rho_{nn} - \gamma_{m'm} \rho_{m'm} \quad (2.66)$$

In particular, the dynamics of the coherences is given by

$$\dot{\rho}_{m'm}(t) = \rho_{m'm}(0) e^{-i(\omega_{m'm} + \text{Im} \gamma_{m'm})t} e^{-\text{Re} \gamma_{m'm} t} \quad (2.67)$$

i.e. the coupling to the environment induces a frequency shift, called Lamb shift, given by $\text{Im} \gamma_{m'm}$. Moreover, $\text{Re} \gamma_{m'm}$ is called dephasing rate. It sets the time scale for the loss of quantum coherence due to the interaction with the bath. Notice that $\text{Re} \gamma_{m'm} \geq 0$ or the positivity of ρ would be lost at some point.

The dynamics of the populations is governed by rate equations

$$\dot{\rho}_{mm} = \sum_{n \neq m} W_{mn} \rho_{nn} - \gamma_{mm} \rho_{mm} \quad (2.68)$$

From (2.64) it follows $\gamma_{mm} = \sum_{k \neq m} (\Gamma_{mkkm}^+ + \Gamma_{mkkm}^-)$ and hence

$$\dot{\rho}_{mm} = \sum_{n \neq m} W_{mn} \rho_{nn} - \left(\sum_{n \neq m} W_{nm} \right) \rho_{mm} \quad (2.69)$$

The physical meaning of (2.69) is that the rate of change

of the populations is given by the general relation

$$\dot{\rho}_{mm} = \underline{\text{gain}} \text{ in } |m\rangle\langle m| - \underline{\text{loss}} \text{ from } |m\rangle\langle m| \quad (2.70)$$

Hence the parameters W_{mn} are interpreted as probabilities per unit time that a transition $|m\rangle \rightarrow |n\rangle$ can be induced by an interaction with the reservoir. This eq. plays a crucial role in statistical physics, chemistry and biology. (see e.g. Haken, Synergetics, Springer, Berlin (1978)). We shall discuss important applications in quantum transport.

Now, we want to have a closer look to the transition rates

$$W_{mn} = \Gamma_{nmnm}^+ + \Gamma_{nmnm}^-$$

Let us consider (from (2.40))

$$\Gamma_{nmnm}^- = \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \sum_{ij} \langle n | \hat{Q}_j | m \rangle \langle m | \hat{Q}_i | n \rangle \int_0^\infty dt'' e^{-i\omega_{nm}t''} \langle \hat{F}_j \hat{F}_i(t'') \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$$

$$\Gamma_{nmnm}^+ = \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \sum_{ij} \langle n | \hat{Q}_i | m \rangle \langle m | \hat{Q}_j | n \rangle \int_0^\infty dt'' e^{-i\omega_{mn}t''} \langle \hat{F}_i(t'') \hat{F}_j \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$$

and, in particular, their integral part: (for Γ^+)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty dt'' e^{-i\omega_{mn}t''} \langle \hat{F}_i(t'') \hat{F}_j \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} &= \int_0^\infty dt'' e^{-i\omega_{mn}t''} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{B}} \{ \hat{F}_i(t'') \hat{F}_j \rho_{\mathcal{B}} \} \\ &= \sum_{N'N} \langle N' | \hat{F}_i | N \rangle \langle N | \hat{F}_j | N' \rangle \rho_{\mathcal{B}}(N') \int_0^\infty dt'' e^{i(\epsilon_{N'} - \epsilon_N - \hbar\omega_{mn})t''/\hbar} \end{aligned} \quad (2.71)$$

likewise for Γ^-

$$\int_0^\infty dt'' e^{-i\omega_{nm}t''} \langle \hat{F}_j \hat{F}_i(t'') \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} = \sum_{N'N} \langle N' | \hat{F}_j | N \rangle \langle N | \hat{F}_i | N' \rangle \rho_{\mathcal{B}}(N') \int_0^\infty dt'' e^{-i(\epsilon_{N'} - \epsilon_N - \hbar\omega_{nm})t''/\hbar} \quad (2.71b)$$

We recall the form of the interaction Hamiltonian (2.30):

$$\hat{H}_{S-B} = \hat{V} = \sum_i \hat{Q}_i \hat{F}_i$$

Hence

$$\sum_i \langle m | \hat{Q}_i | n \rangle \langle N' | \hat{F}_i | N \rangle = \langle m N' | \hat{V} | n N \rangle \quad (2.72)$$

This yields:

$$W_{mn} = \Gamma_{nmmn}^+ + \Gamma_{nmmn}^- =$$

$$= -\frac{1}{\hbar^2} \sum_{NN'} \langle n N' | \hat{V} | m N \rangle \langle m N | \hat{V} | n N' \rangle \int_{B, N'} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt'' e^{i(E_{N'} - E_N - \hbar \omega_{mn}) t'' / \hbar}$$



or

$$W_{mn} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \sum_{NN'} |\langle m N | \hat{V} | n N' \rangle|^2 \int_{B, N'} \delta(E_{N'} - E_N - \hbar \omega_{mn}) \quad (2.73)$$

which resembles Fermi's golden rule expression for the transition probability per unit time.

Note: Because \hat{V} is hermitian $\Rightarrow |\langle m N | \hat{V} | n N' \rangle|^2 = |\langle n N' | \hat{V} | m N \rangle|^2$

This however does not imply $W_{mn} = W_{nm}$. The asymmetry comes from the statistical weight $\int_{B, N'} = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\beta E_{N'}}$. For clarity let us assume $E_m < E_n \Rightarrow \omega_{mn} < 0$ and the δ in (2.73) implies that relevant contributions to the rate W_{mn} are given by both states with $E_N > E_{N'}$.



The total energy is conserved!

Mathematical parenthesis

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx e^{ikx} = \int_0^{\infty} dx e^{ikx} + \int_{-\infty}^0 dx e^{ikx} =$$

$$= \int_0^{\infty} dx e^{ikx} + \int_0^{\infty} dx e^{-ikx} = 2\text{Re} \int_0^{\infty} dx e^{ikx}$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} dx e^{ikx} = \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^{\infty} dx e^{ix(k+i\eta)} = \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{ik - \eta} e^{ikx - \eta x} \Big|_0^{\infty}$$

$$= \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{i}{k + i\eta}$$

$$2\text{Re} \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{i}{k + i\eta} = \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0^+} 2\text{Re} \frac{i(k - i\eta)}{k^2 + \eta^2} = \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{+2\eta}{k^2 + \eta^2} = +2\pi \delta(k)$$

The last result stems from:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk \frac{\eta}{k^2 + \eta^2} \stackrel{x = \frac{k}{\eta}}{=} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \frac{1}{1+x^2} = \text{arctg } x \Big|_{-\infty}^{+\infty} = \pi \quad \forall \eta.$$

But $\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\eta}{k^2 + \eta^2} = 0 \quad \forall k \neq 0.$

More in detail. From (1.29)

$$\langle N' | \rho_B | N' \rangle = \frac{1}{Z_B} e^{-\beta E_{N'}}$$

$$\Rightarrow W_{mn} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \frac{1}{Z_B} \sum_{NN'} |\langle mN | \hat{V} | nN' \rangle|^2 e^{-\beta E_{N'}} \delta(E_{N'} - E_N - \hbar\omega_{mn})$$

and

$$W_{nm} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \frac{1}{Z_B} \sum_{NN'} |\langle nN' | \hat{V} | mN \rangle|^2 e^{-\beta E_N} \delta(E_N - E_{N'} - \hbar\omega_{nm})$$

Using the symmetry of the matrix element and the energy conservation

$$E_N = E_{N'} + \hbar\omega_{nm}$$

$$W_{nm} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \frac{1}{Z_B} \sum_{NN'} |\langle mN | \hat{V} | nN' \rangle|^2 e^{-\beta E_{N'}} \delta(E_{N'} - E_N - \hbar\omega_{nm}) e^{-\beta \hbar\omega_{nm}}$$

and hence

$$\boxed{\frac{W_{mn}}{W_{nm}} = e^{-\beta(E_m - E_n)}} \quad (2.74)$$

If $E_n > E_m$, the transition $|n\rangle \rightarrow |m\rangle$ is more probable than $|m\rangle \rightarrow |n\rangle$.

Example: two level system described by the states $|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$ with energies E_1 and E_2 . From eqs. (2.69) and (2.74) we obtain:

$$\dot{p}_{11} = W_{12} p_{22} - W_{21} p_{11} = W_{21} [e^{-\beta(E_1 - E_2)} p_{22} - p_{11}] = -\dot{p}_{22}$$

In equilibrium is $\dot{p}_{11} = \dot{p}_{22} = 0 \Rightarrow$ one gets the Boltzmann distribution

$$\boxed{\frac{p_{11}^{(00)}}{p_{22}^{(00)}} = \frac{e^{-\beta E_1}}{e^{-\beta E_2}}} \quad (2.75)$$

Note: The result $W_{nm} \neq W_{mn}$ follows formally from the fact that the reservoir operators \hat{F}_i, \hat{F}_j in general do not commute. In theories in which the reservoir is treated classically it follows

$$\Gamma_{nnmm}^{\pm} = \Gamma_{mmnn}^{\pm} \text{ and thus also } W_{nm} = W_{mn}$$

2.4 Non perturbative methods

The approach considered so far is perturbative in the coupling to the bath. Instead our approach to evaluate $\hat{\rho}_{red} = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{B}}\{\hat{\rho}\}$ was: first evaluate $\hat{\rho}(t)$ perturbatively in \hat{H}_{S-B} and after perform the trace over the bath.

In a situation in which we do not want to perform an approximation on \hat{H}_{S-B} one has first to calculate $\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{B}}$ and only afterwards to perform approximations. For linear baths (e.g. a bath of non-interacting harmonic oscillators) the trace operation can be performed exactly.

In these cases a convenient starting point is Eq. (1.16)

$$\hat{\rho}(t) = \hat{U}(t) \hat{\rho}(0) \hat{U}^{\dagger}(t)$$

This approach, though, goes beyond the scope of the present lectures. We will, nevertheless study also master equations where the perturbation \hat{H}_{S-B} is considered to all orders. The method will be though a perturbative one.