

ERRATA – CORRIGE

for the book
Quantum Transport in Interacting Nanojunctions
by

A. Donarini and M. Grifoni

(October 28, 2025)

Location	Now reads	Corrected
Eq. (1.47)	$\sinh^2(\kappa a)$	$\sinh(\kappa a)$
Pg. 29 just after Eq. (1.71)	$n_2 = (k_F/2\pi)^2$	$n_2 = k_F^2/2\pi$
Pg. 29 just after Eq. (1.71)	$\sigma_{\text{dc},D} = e^2 l_{\text{el}} k_F / 2\pi \hbar$	$\sigma_{\text{dc},D} = e^2 l_{\text{el}} k_F / \hbar$
Eq. (2.52)	$\sum_{a=1}^4$	$\sum_{a=0}^3$
Pg. 59, just after Eq. (2.52)	-	<i>please add:</i> where $E_a \equiv E_{2,a}$
Pg. 59, just after Eq. (2.55)	$E_2 - E_1 = E_4 - E_3$	$(E_{2,1} - E_{2,0})/\hbar = (E_{2,3} - E_{2,2})/\hbar$
Pg. 93, title Ex. 2.5	N -sites	N -site
Eq. (2.88)	χ_α	χ
Eq. (2.89)	$S_\alpha(t)$	$S_{I_\alpha}(t)$
Eq. (S3.10)	ω_{mm}	ω_{nm}
Eq. (3.27)	$\tilde{\chi}_{AB}^R(\omega=0) = \tilde{\chi}_{B^\dagger A^\dagger}^R(\omega=0)$	$\tilde{\chi}_{AB}^R(\omega=0) = \tilde{\chi}_{BA}^R(\omega=0) = [\tilde{\chi}_{A^\dagger B^\dagger}^R(\omega=0)]^*$
Eq. (3.31)	$\langle [\hat{M}_L^\dagger(0), \hat{M}_L^\dagger(0)] \rangle_0$	$\langle [\hat{M}_L^\dagger(t), \hat{M}_L^\dagger(0)] \rangle_0$
Pg. 109, 2nd line	Problem 2.9	Problem 2.10
Eq. (3.48), 1st line	$\tilde{B}(\omega)$	$-\frac{\tilde{B}(\omega)}{2\hbar}$
Eq. (3.48), 1st line	$-\frac{e^2}{2\hbar}$	$+\frac{e^2}{2\hbar}$
Eq. (3.48), 2nd line	$t_{L\mathbf{k}\sigma i} t_{L\mathbf{k}'\sigma' j}^*$	$t_{L\mathbf{k}\sigma i}^* t_{L\mathbf{k}'\sigma' j}$
Eq. (3.48), last line	$-t_{L\mathbf{k}\sigma i}^*$	$+t_{L\mathbf{k}\sigma i}^*$
Eq. (3.50), 1st term of 2nd line	$t_{L\mathbf{k}\sigma i} t_{L\mathbf{k}'\sigma' j}^*$	$t_{L\mathbf{k}\sigma i}^* t_{L\mathbf{k}'\sigma' j}$
Eq. (3.52), 1st term of 2nd line	$t_{L\mathbf{k}\sigma i} t_{L\mathbf{k}'\sigma' j}^*$	$t_{L\mathbf{k}\sigma i}^* t_{L\mathbf{k}'\sigma' j}$
Pg. 110, just after Eq. (3.55)	where...	<i>please add:</i> ...for simplicity we choose the system basis $\{ i\sigma\rangle\}$ which diagonalizes the left electrode self-energy $\Sigma_{L\sigma}(\omega)$. Moreover,...
Eq. (4.54), 1st fraction	$\frac{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_0(\lambda)}{1 - \Sigma_Q \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_0(\lambda)}$	$[1 - \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_0(\lambda) \Sigma_Q]^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_0(\lambda)$

Location	Now reads	Corrected
Fig. (5.11)	$\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^{(4)} \hat{\varrho}$	$\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^{(4)} \hat{\varrho}^\infty$
Eq. (5.118), 2nd line	$\mathcal{D}_{l_1}^{P_1 \mu_1}$	$\mathcal{D}_{l_1}^{P_1 \mu_1}$
Pg. 201, just before Sec. 5.9.2	see Chap. 4 in [91]	see Chap. 4. in [4]
Eq. (6.59)	$-\Sigma_{\sigma-}(\epsilon)$	$+\Sigma_{\sigma-}(\epsilon)$
Eq. (6.59)	$-\Sigma_{\sigma+}(\epsilon)$	$+\Sigma_{\sigma+}(\epsilon)$
Eq. (6.60)	$\frac{\mathcal{E}_\xi - \mu_\alpha}{2\pi k_B T}$	$i \frac{\mathcal{E}_\xi - \mu_\alpha}{2\pi k_B T}$
Eq. (6.107)	$-\Sigma_{\sigma-}(\epsilon)$	$+\Sigma_{\sigma-}(\epsilon)$
Eq. (6.107)	$-\Sigma_{\sigma+}(\epsilon)$	$+\Sigma_{\sigma+}(\epsilon)$
Pg. 272, caption to Fig. 7.14	$\Gamma_{0,R} = 2\Gamma_{0,L} = U_{LR}/100\dots$	<i>please add:</i> and the interdot tunnelling vanishes.
Eq. (7.43)	$+2e\gamma_\alpha^- \cdot T^\infty$	$-2e\gamma_\alpha^- \cdot T^\infty$
Eq. (9.55)	$-\Sigma_{\sigma-}(\epsilon)$	$+\Sigma_{\sigma-}(\epsilon)$
Eq. (9.55)	$-\Sigma_{\bar{\sigma}+}(\epsilon)$	$+\Sigma_{\bar{\sigma}+}(\epsilon)$
Eq. (9.56)	$\frac{\mathcal{E}_\xi - \mu_\alpha}{2\pi k_B T}$	$i \frac{\mathcal{E}_\xi - \mu_\alpha}{2\pi k_B T}$
Eq. (S6.39)	$-\Sigma_{\sigma-}$	$+\Sigma_{\sigma+}$
Pg. 560, Ref. 92	https://pubs.acs.org/doi/...	https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.12.570

Please, contact us if you find further misprints.
Thank you in advance for your collaboration!

Andrea Donarini and Milena Grifoni