

**Problem 1: Henneaux & Teitelboim: problem 1.18**

Let  $F$  and  $G$  be two gauge invariant functions, i.e.  $\{F, \gamma_a\} \approx 0$ ,  $\{G, \gamma_a\} \approx 0$ . Prove that  $\{F, G\}_* \approx \{F, G\}$ , no matter which (good) gauge conditions are adopted.

**Problem 2: Dirac bracket and choice of second class constraints**

Show that the Dirac bracket is (weakly) unaffected by an alternative choice of second class constraints,  $\chi'_\alpha = A_\alpha^\beta \chi_\beta$ , where  $\det A_\alpha^\beta \neq 0$ .

**Problem 3: Henneaux & Teitelboim: problem 1.21**

Assume that the second-class constraints  $\chi_\alpha = 0$  split as  $\chi_\alpha \equiv (\gamma_a, C_a)$  where the subset  $\gamma_a = 0$  is first class by itself,  $\{\gamma_a, \gamma_b\} = C_{ab}{}^c \gamma_c$ . Let  $F$  be an arbitrary phase space function. Prove the existence of an equivalent function  $\bar{F} = F + \lambda^\alpha \chi_\alpha + \mathcal{O}(\chi^2)$ , which is first class w.r.t. the  $\gamma_a$ ,  $\{\bar{F}, \gamma_a\} = f_a{}^b \gamma_b + \mathcal{O}(\chi)$ . Show that the first-class system with constraints  $\gamma_a = 0$  and Hamiltonian  $\bar{H}$  is equivalent to the original second-class system modulo  $\mathcal{O}(\chi)$  terms after evaluating Poisson brackets. Higher powers in  $\chi$  can be obtained via the gauge unfixing projector, so this exercise checks gauge unfixing to first order in  $\chi$ .

please turn the page

---

**Problem 4: BONUS: Recursive Hamiltonians**

a) Warmup: Consider the Hamiltonian

$$H_0 = O(q^i, p_i, \mu), \quad (1)$$

with standard Poisson brackets  $\{q^i, p_j\} = \delta_j^i$ .  $\mu$  is for now a fixed parameter constant on phase space. Compute the equations of motion.

b) Next, consider the case when  $\mu = f(O)$  is a function of the Hamiltonian. The Hamiltonian is then recursively defined as

$$H = O(q^i, p_i, f(O)). \quad (2)$$

Compute the equations of motion and relate the Hamiltonian vector field to that of  $H_0$ .

c) Try to rederive the equations of motion along the following lines. First, extend the phase space with the variables  $\mu, p_\mu$ ,  $\{\mu, p_\mu\} = 1$ , then imposing the constraint  $\Phi = \mu - f(O) \approx 0$ , which Poisson commutes with the Hamiltonian. The new Hamiltonian is the total Hamiltonian  $H_T = H_0 + \lambda\Phi$  for  $\lambda$  arbitrary. Choose a gauge fixing  $p_\mu - h(q^i, p_j) \approx 0$  for  $\Phi$  and determine  $\lambda$  by requiring stability of the gauge fixing. For which choices of  $h$  do you obtain the wanted equations of motion? Why is this not always the case?

Hint: It may be instructive to start with the simpler case  $\mu = f(q^i, p_j)$ , where  $f$  is independent of  $O$ .