

## PCR-/NAT EHEC / STEC (RV 534) November 2017



**Tabelle 1:** Probenzusammensetzung und erwartetes Ergebnis.

Sample composition and expected results.

	Erwartet / expected		Probenzusammensetzung / Sample composition
1725341	++	61 / 71,72,77,78	EHEC (~5x10 <sup>4</sup> CFU/mL) (stx-1, stx-2, eae, hlyA and O157 positive)
1725342	Ø	62	Escherichia coli K12
1725343	++	61 / 62 / 76,77	EHEC (~5x10 <sup>4</sup> CFU/mL) (stx-2f and eae positive)
1725344	+	61 / 74	EHEC (~5x10 <sup>3</sup> CFU/mL) (stx-2d positive)

**Tabelle 2:** Häufigkeit der Mitteilung verschiedener Befunde.

Absolute numbers of reported individual results.

<b>n = 113</b>	Probennummer (Sample no.)				<b>Inhibition</b>
	1725341	1725342	1725343	1725344	
<b>Positiv</b>	113 <sup>1)</sup>	2	55 <sup>1)</sup>	88 <sup>1)</sup>	
<b>Negativ</b>	0	111	58	25 <sup>2)</sup>	
<b>Fraglich Questionable</b>	0	0	0	0	

**Tabelle 3:** Häufigkeit richtig positiver und richtig negativer NAT-Befunde bei Anwendern verschiedener Methoden (ohne Probe # 1725343)

Absolute numbers and relative frequency of reported true positive and true negative results among various NAT methods (without sample # 1725343).

<b>NAT-Methode</b> [Code] (total number *)	<b>NAT richtig positiv</b> True positive results			<b>NAT richtig negativ</b> True negative results		
	<b>Absolut</b> <b>Absolute</b>	<b>Relativ</b> <b>Relative</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>Absolut</b> <b>Absolute</b>	<b>Relativ</b> <b>Relative</b>	<b>%</b>
Hain GenoType EHEC [20] (n = 20)	<b>40</b>	40 / 40	<b>100</b>	<b>20</b>	20 / 20	<b>100</b>
r-Biopharm RIDAGENE [22] (n = 30)	<b>46</b>	46 / 60	<b>77</b>	<b>28</b>	28 / 30	<b>93</b>
Other commercial tests [27] (n = 25)	<b>46</b>	46 / 50	<b>92</b>	<b>25</b>	25 / 25	<b>100</b>
In house PCR assay [28] (n = 38)	<b>70</b>	70 / 76	<b>92</b>	<b>38</b>	38 / 38	<b>100</b>
Andere/ k.A. / other [29] (n = 4)	<b>6</b>	6 / 8	<b>75</b>	<b>4</b>	4 / 4	<b>100</b>

\* Durch Mehrfachnennung oder fehlende Angabe kann die absolute Zahl der Ergebnisse (Tab. 2) von der Anzahl der Teilnehmer abweichen.  
Due to reporting results of multiple assay systems or missing specifications, the effective numbers are not correlating with the number of participants

**Comments:** <sup>1)</sup> Partial or complete shiga toxin subtyping, eae-, and hlyA-detection was performed by 94 laboratories. With the exception of 3 laboratories, all reported results were correct.

<sup>2)</sup> As sample #1725344 contained a low number of EHEC target organisms, negative PCR results were not rated "false negative" in this EQAS distribution.